WP2 Comparative impact analysis Tender evaluation 4th January 2017

Update Green with the given values Red with the biggest value on the row

Tenderers	AECOM Baltics SIA	Aecom reference NO	Ramboll Finland Oy, Sito Oy, Strafica Oy,	Ramboll reference NO	SYSTRA Ltd Oxera Consulting LLP, Praxis	Systra reference NO	TheLINK: Amberg Engineering AG,	The Link reference NO	VR Track Oy – Egis Rail	VR Track Reference NO	Best points in a row
Personnel											
Project manager	7	14,00	4	8,00	9	18,00	10	20,00	8	16,00	10
traffic volume estimations	5	2,78	9	5,00	9	5,00	8	4,44	7	3,89	9
wider comparative impact analysis	7	3,89	9	5,00	6	3,33	7	3,89	7	3,89	9
standard cost-benefit analysis	7	4,38	8	5,00	7	4,38	7	4,38	6	3,75	8
general traffic systems and costs	7	4,38	8	5,00	7	4,38	8	5,00	8	5,00	8
road and rail traffic systems and costs	7	4,12	8,5	5,00	8	4,71	8	4,71	8	4,71	8,5
maritime systems and costs	5	2,78	9	5,00	9	5,00	7	3,89	7,5	4,17	9
Total personnel		36,31		38,00		44,79		46,30		41,40	
Project plan											
Background and estimations	4	6,50	7	11,38	4	6,50	3	4,88	8	13,00	8
Comparative impact analysis	6	10,50	8	14,00	4	7,00	2	3,50	5	8,75	8
Standard cost-benefit analysis	8	11,56	9	13,00	4	5,78	2	2,89	8	11,56	9
Total Project plan		28,56		38,38		19,28		11,26		33,31	
Preliminary quality plan	7,5	10,00	7,5	10,00	6	8,00	6	8,00	6	6,67	7,5
TOTAL POINTS		74,87		86,38		72,07		65,57		81,37	