See criteria and justifications in Annex 5 to the Invitation to Tender

Tenderers	AECOM Baltics SIA	Ramboll Finland Oy, Sito Oy, Strafica Oy, Kaupunkitutkimus TA Oy, Pöyry Finland Oy	SYSTRA Ltd Oxera Consulting LLP, Praxis Center for Policy Studies, SYSTRA SA, Trafix Oy	TheLINK: Amberg Engineering AG, Amberg Loglay AG, Sweco Finland Oy, Sweco Environment Ltd, OÜ Hendrikson & Ko, WSP Finland Oy, WSP Sverige AB	VR Track Oy – Egis Rail
Premilinary quality plan					
Premilinary quality plan Annex B4. – With regard to the preliminary quality plan it will be evaluated, how the company quality system methods are targeted to contents of procurement. In particular will be appreciated reflection of the consultant's professional expertise and that specific expertise is anticipated to bring quality to the outcome of the consultant's work. – The customer appreciates a clear and appropriate to the content of the procurement description of quality management methods, responsibilities and documentation. Especially will be appreciated effectiveness of the described and that they contribute to the implementation of a high-quality plan.	Methods, qualitys systems, responsibilities and documentation are described clearly at detailed level. The descriptionas well as company´s quality methods are appropriate to the content of the procurement. The plan addresses specific challenges in this project, preliminary quality risks have been analyzed and answered by using consultant´s professional expertise. Specific expertise of the plan is anticipated to bring quality to the outcome of the consultant's work. The plan seems effective and contribution to the implemenation of a high quality plan seems possible.	Methods, risks, responsibilities and documentation are identified and described well and in detail. Named responsibilities separately for each consortium member. Plan reflects consultant´s professional expertise and clearly is appropriate to the content of the procurement. Specific expertise is anticipated to bring quality to the outcome of the consultant's work, for example identification of the risks in different terminologies in Finland,Estonia and in the EU and project´s internal risks linked to other WPs. The plan seems effective and contribution to the implemenation of a high quality plan seems possible.	General but clear description of quality management methods, responsibilities and documentation. The description shows at general level how the consultant manages the entity of quality, describes the standarts and certificates used as well as the roles of staff in the quality plan. Some references made to this assignment and its specific risks particular in communisations addressed in the quality plan. Plan includes no detailed description for example about project´s internal risks between work of WP2 and 3. It is difficult to evaluate effectiveness of the described and contribution to the implementation of a high-quality plan as the plan is cursory and not targeted to this specific project in detailed level.	General quality plan including description of quality management methods, responsibilities and documentation. The description shows at satisfactory level how the consultant manages the entity of quality, describes the standarts and certificates used. Some references made to this assignment and its specific risks addressed in the quality plan, but there is no description of how the quality plan reflects challenges of this specific assignment or the consultants great expertise in managing infrastructure projects similar to the one evaluated in this project. It is difficult to evaluate effectiveness of the described and contribution to the implementation of a high-quality plan the plan is cursory and not targeted to this specific project in detailed level.	General but clear description of company´s quality management methods, responsibilities and documentation. The description shows at general level how the consultant manages the entity of quality, describes the standarts and certificates used. Plan acknowledges some risks specific to this assignment but ~~th links of~~ there is not detailed description for example of links of different project studies and their information needs. The plan does not reflect the expertise of consultants specified for this project. It is difficult to evaluate effectiveness of the described and contribution to the implementation of a high-quality plan as the plan is cursory and not targeted to this specific project in detailed level.