PART A - Project summary

A.1 Project identification

Sub-programme		Central Baltic
Programme priority		P2 Sustainable use of common resources
Programme priority specific objective		6
Project acronym		iWater
Project title		Integrated Storm Water Management
Project number		189
Name of the lead partner organisation/original language		Rīgas dome
Name of the lead partner organisation/English		City of Riga (Riga City Council)
Project duration 30.51 month	Start date	2015-09-15
	End date	2018-03-31

A.2 Project summary

Please give a short overview of the project and describe - the common challenge of the programme area you are jointly tackling in your project; - the main overall objective of the project and the expected change your project will make to the current situation; - the main outputs you will produce and who will benefit from them; - the approach you plan to take and why is cross-border/transnational approach needed - what is new/original about it - It has to be written in the style of a press release. (ComGroup) - Transnational added value – Why do you work in a transnational setting? (ComGroup)

According to Climate Change scenarios Baltic Sea Region will face more frequent and heavier rainfalls. At same time trend in urban planning is to densify urban areas. These together have led to more serious urban flooding which cause costs, environmental degradation and risks for citizens safety. Current planning and management practices have proven to be weak in meeting the challenges. Therefore there is a clear need for transferring storm waters from being a problem to being a resource for urban areas.

Storm water management must be among top priorities in urban development and it must be integrated into urban planning processes at all levels. To achieve these goals, cities around BSR have to meet common challenge of dispersed planning and management responsibilities of storm waters.

iWater aims to improve urban planning by developing integrated and multifunctional storm water management in Central Baltic cities and so create higher quality and more resilient urban space and increase urban sustainability.

iWater creates science-practice collaboration to find and develop common management methods, guidelines, tools and solutions for integrated storm water management. 7 partner cities adopt new integrated storm water programs/plans and 25-35 other cities will be trained to use the methods developed in project.

Integrated storm water management tools will be developed, localized and piloted in detailed city plans by each partner city. This will integrate multifunctional storm water solutions into urban planning and so introduce green infrastructure solutions that provide ecosystem services.

Idea-competition will be organised to develop innovative storm water management solutions that are applicable to BSR conditions. Investment plans will be prepared. Infocampaign on multifunctional cost-effective SW solutions will be organised. Project has longterm positive effects in urban planning and environment.

Budget Overview

TOTAL 2 346 858,75 € EU co-financing: 1 826 350,06 € LP: City of Riga 330 930,00 € P2: City of Jelgava 73 830,00 € P3: City of Söderhamn 320 400,00 € P4: City of Gävle 339 885,00 € P5: City of Tartu 257 300,00 € P6: City of Helsinki 286 920,00 € P7: City of Turku 295 550,00 € P8: Union of the Baltic Cities 268 575,00 € P9: Aalto University 173 468,75 €

A.3 Project budget summary

PROGRAMME CO-FINANCING			CONTRIBUTION					TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET
			Public contribution					
Co-financing source (fund)	Amount	co-financing rate (%)	Automatic public contribution	Other public contribution	Total public contribution	Private contribution	Total contribution	
ERDF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total EU funds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ERDF equivalent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Partner Number	Partner Abbreviation	Country	FUND	co-financing rate (%)	% of total	Public contribution	Private contribution	Total contribution	TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	Partner Status
Rīgas dome		LATVIJA	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Jelgavas pilsētas dome		LATVIJA	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Söderhamns kommun		SVERIGE	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Gävle kommun		SVERIGE	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Tartu Linnavalitsus		EESTI	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Helsingin kaupunki		SUOMI / FINLAND	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Turun kaupunki		SUOMI / FINLAND	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Itämeren kaupunkien liiton ympäristösihteeristö c/o Turun kaupunki		SUOMI / FINLAND	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
Aalto-korkeakoulusäätiö		SUOMI / FINLAND	0.00	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	public
sub-total for partners outside (the Union part of) the programme area			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

PART B - Project partners

B.1 Project partner

Project partner 1

Partner role in the project Active or Not	LP
Name of organisation in original language	Rīgas dome
Name of organisation in English	City of Riga (Riga City Council)
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	City Development Department
Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	LV
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	LV00
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	LV006
street, Postalcode, City, etc.	LV–1539 Riga
	Ratslaukums 1
Home page	www.rdpad.lv/en/
Project Partner to which the this partner is associated	true
VAT number (if applicable)	
Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	local public authority
(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	85.00
Contact person name	Agnese
	Agnese.Gutmane@riga.lv
	00371 67105449
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes

Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	City Development Department of the Riga City Council is the leading municipal institution in the field of urban development and spatial development planning. Experienced urban planners team covers various competence fields from planning of strategic development, transport & infrastructure to housing, envi-ronment, nature sites and public spaces planning, and is responsible for ensuring balanced and sustain-able city development through democratic and participative planning process. Department has also obtained considerable experience in elaboration and implementation of various EU funded projects, mostly from ERDF, ESF, CF, Baltic Sea Region Central Interreg IV B, Baltic Sea Inter-reg IV A, Interreg IVC, URBACT II and other EU programmes. In order to ensure professional implemen-tation of EU financed projects, Department has established Projects Division responsible for manage-ment and supervision of Riga city projects. The unified project management and supervision system has been established and approved by the Riga City Council in order to ensure completely transparent and efficient project implementation process with two-level financial control system. Within EU funds programming period 2007-2013 the Department has implemented such international cooperation projects as "Changes & Conflicts in Using Public Spaces/USER" (URBACT II), "Fire Risk Prevention and Improvement of Fire Extinction Systems of Historic Town Centers of Cities named Word Heritage/ HERITPROT" (Interreg IVC), "Working for Talent/W4T" (Interreg IVC), "Climate Change, Cul-tural Heritage & Energy Efficient Monuments/Co2olBricks" (Baltic Sea Region Programme), etc. By im-plementing these projects the Department has developed strong experience in international cooperation with partners from the Denmark, Sweden, Estonia, Finland, Norway, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Por-tugal, Hungary, Czech Republic, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Ireland, the United Kingdom and other countries.
What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

B.2 Project partner

Project partner 2

Partner role in the project Active or Not	PP
Name of organisation in original language	Jelgavas pilsētas dome
Name of organisation in English	Jelgava City Council
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	Development and City Planning Department
Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	LV
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	LV00
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	LV009
street, Postalcode, City, etc.	LV-3001 Jelgava
	Lielā street 11
Home page	http://www.jelgava.lv
Assimilated partner Yes / No	true
VAT number (if applicable)	

Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	local public authority
(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	85.00
Contact person name	Ineta
	Ineta.vintere@dome.jelgava.lv
	+371 63005487
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes
Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	One of functions of local authorities is urban planning and to organize public utilities for inhabitants. Public utilities include waste water collection, drainage and treatment. In Jelgava city issues concerning storm-water drainage sewage system are very important for improve-ment of city environment and quality of living environment and are defined among the main priorities in strategic planning documents for the next planning period. The area of Jelgava City is divided into 17 runoff systems containing both drainage systems with open ditches, and piping drainage systems and rain-water drainage systems. In Jelgava city storm-water drainage sewage system is gradually separated from waste water drainage sewage system. Responsible institutions control the situation in the city to avoid draining of waste water in the ditches as well as pollution of ditches wit waste. During last five years Jelgava City Council has implemented several environmental projects funded by EU: • Latvia–Lithuania Cross Border Cooperation Programme: Effective management and protection of nature resources in Jelgava and Siauliai cities and Liquidation of Ecological Catastrophes and Pollution in the Territory of the Lielupe River Basin; • European Agricultural Guarantee Fund:Cleaning of Lielupe river bed and recovery of the right bank dam and Reconstruction of drainage system in the areas affected by restructuring of sugar industry in Jelgava City; • European Regional Development Fund : Improvement of Pasta Island and shaping of rivers as a tourism and active leisure product in Jelgava.
What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

Project partner 3

Partner role in the project Active or Not	PP
Name of organisation in original language	Söderhamns kommun
Name of organisation in English	Municipality of Söderhamn
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	Municipal Committee Department
Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	SE
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	SE31
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	SE313
street, Postalcode, City, etc.	82660 Söderhamn
Home page	www.soderhamn.se
Assimilated partner Yes / No	true
VAT number (if applicable)	
Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	local public authority
(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	75.00
Contact person name	Maria
	maria.svensson@soderhamn.se
	004670 190 67 10
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes
Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	The Municipality of Söderhamn is currently looking into the possibility of a climate change plan. The municipality has already suffered from the effects since a part of the municipality was flooded in 2013. A group of civil servants from the various departments from the municipality works as a task force looking in to what happened and is also looking into the future. What will we need to do and what has others done. The task force has a broad experience from planning, environmental aspects, sewage and water management and the municipalitys work on maintance. The municipality has previously been a partner in the Baltic Sea Programme funded flagship project Baltic Climate which also laid a foundation for the work ahead and for this project.
What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

Project partner 4

Partner role in the project Active or Not	PP
Name of organisation in original language	Gävle kommun
Name of organisation in English	Municipality of Gävle
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	Management administration
Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	SE
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	SE31
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	SE313
street, Postalcode, City, etc.	SE- 801 84 Gävle
	Oxtorgsgatan 19
Home page	www.gavle.se
Assimilated partner Yes / No	true
VAT number (if applicable)	
Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	local public authority
(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	75.00
Contact person name	Elin
	elin.frisk@gavle.se
	+462617 81 35
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes
Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	The municipality, together with its municipal water company, has overall responsibility for the management of storm water issues. The municipality is well experienced and has high competences in the field of storm water. Urban and spatial planners, specialist in storm water management, together with experts and specialists in environmental and health protection, will be involved in the project. Competences and experiences cover both practical and strategic experiences in surface water runoff and storm water flows through surveys, model construction, computer simulations, design and execution, as well as malfunctions and damage claims. Competences and experiences also cover legal requirements and strategies for sustainable development. The Municipality of Gävle has further extensive experience in EU-project, both as partner and as Lead Partner. In the previous programming period, Gävle has participated in the cross-border, transnational and interregional Interreg programs.
What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

Project partner 5

Partner role in the project Active or Not	PP
Name of organisation in original language	Tartu Linnavalitsus
Name of organisation in English	Tartu City Government
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	Department of Urban Planning, Land Survey and Use
Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	EE
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	EE00
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	EE008
street, Postalcode, City, etc.	51003 Tartu
	Raekoja plats 3
Home page	www.tartu.ee
Assimilated partner Yes / No	true
VAT number (if applicable)	
Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	local public authority
(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	85.00
Contact person name	Mati
	Mati.Raamat@raad.tartu.ee
	+3725079650
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes

Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	AS Tartu Veevärk, the local water company, is responsible for managing the water, wastewater and storm water system. It is owned by City Council and thus has good-working relations with the city government. In urban planning and designing pro-cesses the consulting with the specialists from the water company needs to be done frequently. The pipelines of the storm water belong to the water company, the diches are maintained by city government - the service of road maintaining - so the cooperation is everyday action but integration of practices needs to be done. It is impossible to imagine successful urban planning and water management without cooperation of the specialists of planning, designing and maintenance. The strategic development plan of water company is looked through by engineering service and department of communal services and then approved by city council. So the water treatment, hydropower, environmental, street engineering, urban planning specialist are involved into developing the water and storm water treatment system in Tartu. As the legal service is also taking part in preparing the documents for the city Council, we can say that in developing the water treatment system in Tartu the widest range of competences are taken in account: from legal requirements to sustainable development. All stake-holders are aware of the need and willing to develop integrated storm water management in Tartu. Tartu city has a great experience in taking part in several EU co-financed cross-border projects. Tartu is also active member of the Union of the Baltic Cities and has experience on organizing international events. For example Tartu has been taking part in UBC urban planners' seminars and in 2011 the urban planners' autumn seminar was held in Tartu.
What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

Project partner 6

Partner role in the project Active or Not	PP
Name of organisation in original language	Helsingin kaupunki
Name of organisation in English	City of Helsinki
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	City of Helsinki Environment Centre
Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	FI
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	FI1B
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	FI1B1
street, Postalcode, City, etc.	P.O. Box 500, FI-00099 Helsinki
	Viikinkaari 2a
Home page	http://www.hel.fi/www/ymk/en
Assimilated partner Yes / No	true
VAT number (if applicable)	
Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	local public authority

(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	75.00
Contact person name	Kajsa
	Kajsa.Rosqvist@hel.fi
	+358 40 5102294
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes
Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	The City of Helsinki Environment Centre is an expert agency within the City of Helsinki managing the city's environmental protection, environmental healthcare and veterinary services. The most important tasks of the Environment Centre are to promote taking the environment and nature into consideration when making decisions, to act as a supervisory and specialist department preventing environmental risks, to motivate citizens and companies towards environmental responsibility and to produce and share environmental information. The staff consists of researchers, environmental inspectors and planners as well as technical personnel. As the Environment Centre is leading and participating in several international projects and forums, functioning patterns to involve and activate other administrative entities within the city and among cities for cooperation both nationally and internationally are well developed. Especially in the fields of urban planning, green infrastructure and storm water management the involvement of diverse and shared expertise is highlighted since the expertise and administrative responsibilities are scattered within the city. On these issues, the Environment Centre has particularly good relations and experiences of cooperation with the Public Works Department and the City Planning Department within the city, as well as with several other cities and municipalities in the Baltic Sea region, which facilitates future development and cooperation. In this context, the Environment Centre has during 2012 until present the been leading two EU financed collaboration projects The Climate adaptation in cities – tools for planning project ("ILKKA", ERDF) and the CITYWATER- Benchmarking water protection in cities project (Life+).
What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

Project partner 7

Partner role in the project Active or Not	PP
Name of organisation in original language	Turun kaupunki
Name of organisation in English	City of Turku
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	Environmental Division
Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	FI
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	FI1C
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	FI1C1

street, Postalcode, City, etc.	20100 Turku
	Puolalankatu 5
Home page	www.turku.fi
Assimilated partner Yes / No	true
VAT number (if applicable)	
Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	local public authority
(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	75.00
Contact person name	Pekka
	pekka.salminen@turku.fi
	+358449075999
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes
Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	Environmental Division of the City of Turku is responsible of e.g. city planning, environmental protection and construction controlling. It is also responsible for coordination of storm water management team. Turku's storm water strategy was developed already in 2009. However, currently the strategy needs to be up-dated. Environmental Division has a long experience in development of integrated sustainability management. As an experienced organisation in integrated management, Turku has leading role in iWater in development of integrated management guidelines for storm water management. One of Turku's strategic objectives is to be the most resource efficient City. This requires among other things integration of storm water management into urban planning in a way that storm waters are a resource instead of problem. This is natural continuation to the work that has been done during several decades to integrate sustainable development to all levels of decision making and implementation. Environmental Division of the City of Turku has a long experience in international co-operation in the field of urban development and environmental protection. Turku has been involved in several EU co-financed project like Managing Urban Europe 25 in which integrated sustainability management was developed and Citywater which is related to storm water management.
What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

Project partner 8

Partner role in the project Active or Not	PP
Name of organisation in original language	Itämeren kaupunkien liiton ympäristösihteeristö c/o Turun kaupunki
Name of organisation in English	Union of the Baltic Cities, Commission on Environment c/o City of Turku
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	Administration group

Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	FI
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	FI1C
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	FI1C1
street, Postalcode, City, etc.	FI-20500 Turku
	Vanha Suurtori 7
Home page	http://www.ubc-environment.net/
Assimilated partner Yes / No	true
VAT number (if applicable)	
Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	local public authority
(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	75.00
Contact person name	Björn
	bjorn.gronholm@ubc.net
	+358 44 9075987
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes
Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	The Union of the Baltic Cities' Commission on Environment (UBC EnvCom) is part of a network of 109 cities in Baltic Sea Region. UBC is a voluntary, proactive network mobilizing the shared potential of its member cities for democratic, economic, social, cultural and environmentally sustainable development of the Baltic Sea Region. Commission on Environment is responsible for the environmental and urban sus-tainability activities within UBC. UBC EnvCom has coordinated several large transnational EU projects, such as, SUSTAINMENT, MATRUSCHKA, NEW BRIDGES, CHAMP, PURE, PRESTO and Managing Urban Europe 25 that have focused on iWater project's main areas; Integrated Management Systems (IMS), urban planning and urban water management. UBC EnvCom has experience in facilitation of actions aimed at training and supporting local and subregional authorities in implementing an integrated management system for cli-mate change. Additionally, UBC EnvCOm has promoted the model European-wide. Integrated Manage-ment helps in the establishment of structures used to better link different regional and municipal govern-ing bodies in spatial planning and to facilitate cooperation across sectors and borders. Integrated man-agement enables common development visions and goals to be formulated together with different stake-holders. Among other things, UBC EnvCom has been co- developer of tools to apply more integrated approach to planning: how to go through systematically different planning activities together in the city-regional context; how to involve individuals, private sector actors, politicians and other stakeholders into the planning process. UBC EnvCom has long experience in being the leader in project communication and dissemination. UBCs city network ensures that the iWater project results will find the right target group, cities in the BSR, efficiently beyond the project partnership.

What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

Project partner 9

Partner role in the project Active or Not	PP
Name of organisation in original language	Aalto-korkeakoulusäätiö
Name of organisation in English	Aalto University
Abbreviation of organisation	
Department/unit/division	Department of Architecture
Address (country – NUTS 0) NUTS 0 (table: NUTS 0 regions in Europe, only those countries that are inside the programme area ; including field 'other')	FI
Region (NUTS 2) NUTS 2 (depending the NUTS 0 chosen), only those NUTS 2 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	FI1B
Sub-region (NUTS 3) NUTS 3 (depending the NUTS 2 chosen), only those NUTS 3 which are inside the programme area, including field 'other'	FI1B1
street, Postalcode, City, etc.	0215 Espoo
	Otakaari 1
Home page	http://www.aalto.fi/en/
Assimilated partner Yes / No	true
VAT number (if applicable)	
Other national identifying number (if no VAT number is not provided)	
Type of identifying number (e.g. registry number, tax no)	
Type of partner	higher education and research
(if 'other' is selected in the previous field): Please explain 'other'	
Co-financing source	ERDF
Co-financing %	75.00
Contact person name	Jyrki
	jyrki.sinkkila@aalto.fi
	+358 40 5928 156
Legal status public/private	public
Is your organisation entitled to recover VAT based on national legislation for the activities implemented in the project? No/yes/partly If partly explain how: Comment	yes

Which are the organisation's experiences and thematic competences and experiences relevant for the project?	Aalto University, the 2010 merger of three Finnish universities – Helsinki School of Economics, Helsinki University of Technology and The University of Art and Design Helsinki – The School of Arts, Design and Architecture is an institution of higher education for design, media, architecture, motion picture, art education and art. The school combines the areas of design and implementation of human-oriented environments as well as areas of research and teaching based on humanistic and cultural traditions. The school produces specialists and innovators of art, design, architecture and landscape architecture with strong artistic and technical skills. Its key areas of research include design, architecture, urban planning and design, and emerging technologies. In the field of urban planning and design, it strives for beyond state-of-the-art research results in the design of Green Infrastructure. A new research group focused on green infrastructure and landscape was set up in 2014 and is building new open collaboration between the research and practice of green infrastructure solutions. Within the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering of the School of Engineering Aalto has strong expertise on the research of urban water issues. The Water and Environmental Engineering research group studies selected topics in applied hydrology, hydraulics, applied limnology, water resources systems analysis, water resources environmental impact assessment, hydraulic structures, and irrigation and drainage. Furthermore, research covers solid waste management, drinking water and wastewater treatment as well as drinking water distribution and wastewater collection systems. More information on the research group can be found at http://civil.aalto.fi/en/research/water_and_environment/. Currently, the group comprises six professors, 17 re-searchers, 28 doctoral students and 6 technicians.
What is the benefit for the organisation from participating in the project?	
If applicable, describe the organisation's experience in participating in and/or managing EU co-financed projects or other international projects.	

no associated project partner declared

PART C - Project description

C.1 Project relevance

C.1.1 What are the common territorial challenges that will be tackled by the project Please describe the relevance of your project for the programme area in terms of common challenges and/or joint assets addressed?

Cities in BSR are facing common challenge of increasing stormwater floods caused by climate change and densifying urban areas. That leads to degradation of natural resources and infrastructure. These were also among main challenges highlighted by the member cities of the Union of the Baltic Cities (UBC) in stormwater management survey 2014.

From administrative point of view these challenges are not easily answered as the cities are facing a challenge of dispersed management responsibility of stormwaters. Clarification of responsibilities and awareness raising among departments and stakeholders were also indicated in the UBC survey as development priorities.

The responsibilities and management principles, including stake holder involvement, can be described in Integrated Strom Water Management Programs/Plans, but the UBC survey indicated that only 1/4 of the Baltic Sea Region cities have a stormwater management strategy in place and 1/4 are planning to develop one.

New skills and tested practices are needed to be able to transfer stormwaters from being a problem to being a resource for better urban development. Conventional storm water solutions are not able to answer current challenges.

Currently is understood that multifunctional stormwater solutions are more easily adaptable to changing conditions and at same time provide multiple ecosystem services. The multifunctional solutions are growing trend but in BSR there is lack of practical experiences and planning skills for those.

Also there are prejudices regarding costs of such solutions. Info about the cost-effectiveness of stormwater solutions is already available but to create better understanding of their feasibility, the results must be compiled and analysed to see what are benefits and costs on long term in different urban areas in BSR.
New ideas for multifunctional stormwater solutions also need to be developed and mainstreamed in BSR.

C.1.2 What is the project's approach in addressing these common challenges and/or joint assets and what is new about the approach the project takes? Please describe new solutions that will be developed during the project and/or existing solutions that will be adopted and implemented during the project lifetime and in what way the approach goes beyond existing practice in the sector/programme area/participating countries.

iWater will tackle with several challenges named by EC's policies on urban environment by improving delivery of ecosystem services through development and implementation of sustainable integrated storm water management guidelines and practices. Integrated storm water management is widely used term in different meanings but guidelines that answer above described common cross-border challenges have not been developed for local authorities.

International surveys on previous cost-benefit analyses of SW treatment methods and on existing SW management tools will be carried out to produce baseline for development and integration of such tools into the urban planning processes. Tools will be piloted in detailed city plans and introduced to urban planning IT-software developers.
Guidelines and tools for integrated storm water management will be developed on basis of shared experiences, stake holder involvement, research-practice collaboration and piloting. International development and training workshops will be organized to further elaborate and disseminate the gathered information.

Open ideas competition will be used to develop plans for multifunctional storm water solutions which increase adaptive capacity and resilience of cities against common challenges through multiple ways: by maintaining/developing ecosystem services and preventing loss of biodiversity, by promoting resource efficient and low-carbon GI solutions, and by improving stakeholders know-how and understanding about the drivers and consequences of common challenges.

Sustainable stormwater campaign to invite the cities of Baltic Sea Region to use developed tools is organized to raise wider awareness about possible solutions to the common challenges. Recomendation paper will be prepared.
Stormwater solutions based on green infrastructure solutions have a small carbon footprint compared to conventional gray infrastructure. Project will use low-carbon managmnt pract

C.1.3 Why is cross-border/transnational cooperation needed to achieve the project's objectives and result?Please explain why the project goals cannot be efficiently reached acting only on a national/regional/local level and/or describe what benefits the project partners/target groups/project area gain in taking a cross-border / transnational approach.

Urban planners and other administrates have been developing their SW management practices but yet not being able to answer all common challenges in one place.

New integrated storm water management practices, guidelines and tools are needed to answer common challenges. Development needs to be supported by relevant international surveys. Cooperation is needed to share the different experiences on tackling the common challenges and to utilize the lessons learnt.

International cooperation will ensure that max amount of information and experiences can be gathered for new developments. International cooperation enables to raise BSR to front runner on storm water management and showing the way for other regions and the EU. BSR cities have great potential and incentive for that as storm water management is a key mean of adaptation to the Climate Change in the region.

iWater partners come from all Central Baltic countries as the aim is to develop new common guidelines, criteria and surveys that would serve the whole region. Development work is based on international exchange of experiences and brain storming workshops that are supported by surveys carried out by university partner. Aalto University has strong experience and knowledge on development of multifunctional storm water solutions to improve quality of urban space and environment.

City partners represent large urban areas that have faced the consequences of climate change and densifying city structure in form of storm water floods. These cities have true need to develop new storm water management practices that function in BSR.

Partner cities have experience on strategic storm water management but have recently realized that they are in need of new kind of integrated management which should be agreed in city's storm water program. Partner cities are also facing tangible storm water challenges and are in need of new tools to overcome the challenges.

C.1.4 Please select all cooperation criteria that apply to your project and describe how you will fulfil them.Cooperation criteria Please select all that applies to your project

Cooperation criteria	**Description**
Joint Development	All partners have been involved in developing the project concept and objectives. The results reflect the actual needs arisen in cities. Better urban planning and promoting integrated management approach is a strategic aim of all partners.
Joint Implementation	Project is based on join effort and active participation from all partners. Each partner will be involved in all project activities and all partners will benefit from the results. The consortium partners will keep regular contact with each other.
Joint Staffing	The Lead partner Riga City will take care of the overall project coordination, management and financial management on behalf of all partners and will ensure smooth communication between partners. LP has long experience in project coordination.
Joint Financing	The individual partner budgets, that includes each partners own contribution, form the overall budget of the project, which will be managed jointly by the Lead Partner. The project will have only one subsidy contract between all partners involved.

C.2 Project focus

C.2.1 Project objectives, expected result and main outputs

Programme priority specific objective Rīgas dome

Project main overall objective

What is the main overall objective of the project and how does it link to the programme's objective?Specify one project main objective and describe its contribution to the programme priority specific objective.
iWater's objective is to improve urban planning by developing integrated and multifunctional storm water management in CB cities and so create higher quality and safe urban space and increase urban sustainability. iWater will develop guidelines and tools on integrate storm water management and introduce the practices into urban planning process. Also, aim is to find, pilot and disseminate innovations in urban storm water management that improve urban environment and decreases negative environmental and climate impacts in BSR cities. Furthermore, aim is to decrease future costs of urban flooding. Via the multifunctional green infrastructure solutions, generated by integrated planning, aim is also to decrease storm water runoff and prevention of hazardous leakage from the sewage system into water bodies amongst other things. To some extent the urban GI solutions can also function as carbon storage. Green Infrastructure solutions are seen as key contributor to the EU's climate change adaptation and disaster risk management policies (COM (2013) 249). As cities are first to deal with immediate consequences they should play a critical role in implementing prevention and adaptation measures. Through experiments and learning from previous studies and from each other iWater aims at providing guidance for authorities and decision-makers, civil society, and business sector on Integrated Storm Water Management that promote the adaptive capacity of cities and sustainable use of natural resources.

Programme result

Select one programme result indicator your project will contribute to.
R2.3. Share of urban areas covered with integrated urban management

Project main result

What is are the project's main results and how does it they link to the programme result indicator? Specify your one or more project's main result and describe its their contribution to the programme result indicator.
iWater will improve integration of all stakeholders involved in urban planning process (e.g. departments of city admin., residents, construction companies, NGOs and consultants) in relation to storm water management and increase understanding of benefits of multifunctional storm water solutions. Local storm water management practices will be developed into a full integrated management system which will link all urban planning processes and stakeholders on all levels (master and detailed city planning/construction permitting/street planning/environmental planning/ infrastructure planning/municipal level/regional level). 7 partner cities will develop and adopt integrated storm water program/plan. Guide-lines for integrated storm water management will be developed as well as evaluation criteria for peer review. Urban planning will be taken to higher level by developing, localizing and piloting integrated storm water management tools which integrate multifunctional solutions into urban planning. Approx. 25-35 cities and regions will be trained to use Integrated Storm Water Management System (guidelines) and different tools. Evaluation criteria for sustainable SW solutions and review of prev. cost-benefit analyses of stormwater systems will be done. Open ideas competition will be organised to develop innovative storm water management solutions that are applicable in BSR and to communicate widely about why to invest in these solutions. Based on ideas, partner cities will prepare plans for innovative pilot investments showcasing the benefits of integrated SW management (water quality, recreation, urban biodiversity, flood prevention, etc). Results increase the share of urban areas covered with integrated storm water management immediately through piloting and programming but even more so on long term as the guidelines and tools to start implementing integrated storm water management in urban areas will be disseminated to cities and institutions in BSR.

Project overall objectives

Which are the specific objectives the project will be working towards? Define max. 3 project specific objectives.

Title of specific objective	Please provide a short explanation on the defined specific objectives

Project main outputs Overview table on project outputs as defined in the work plan

Programme output indicators (s)	Programme output indicator targets	Project Target Sum	Measurment Unit	Project main output quantificatio n (target)	Project main output number	Project main output (title)

C.2.2 Target groups

Target group/-s	Please further specify the target group/s (e.g., bilingual elementary schools, environmental experts, etc.).	Target value Please indicate the size of the target group you will reach.

C.2.3 Durability of project outputs and results

How does will the project ensure that project outputs and result/s have a lasting effect beyond project duration? Please describe concrete measures (including institutional structures, financial resources, etc.) taken during and after project implementation to ensure and/or strengthen the durability of the project's outputs and results. Explain how outputs will be further used once the project has been finalised and, iIf relevant, explain who will be responsible and/or who will be the owner of results and outputs.

no Answer given

C.2.4 Transferability of project outputs and results

How does will the project ensure that project outputs and results are applicable and replicable by other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership? Please describe to what extent it will be possible to transfer the outputs and results to other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership.

no Answer given

Overview information of the project activity plan and how the objectives will be reached

The project outputs are developed by the end users. Development work is supported by the university partner. As a result the regular urban planning processes are changed permanently. Project consortium will develop guidelines for integrated storm water management. Guidelines will illustrate an ideal storm water management system which will take urban planning processes permanently to new level. Partner cities will develop/update their storm water and urban planning processes and storm water programs/plans which will set targets and actions for storm water management for the upcoming years. Also, evaluation criteria for peer review will be developed for further development and dissemination of integrated storm water management to cities in the BSR and the EU. Planning tools for integrated storm water management will be evaluated, developed, adjusted and piloted in the project to integrate sustainable storm water management into regular urban planning procedures that will be used after project life time. Idea competition will be organized to develop innovative multifunctional SW solutions applicable in BSR. These will function as basis for investment plans which will be realized after project lifetime as part of normal investment schemes. These ideas, plans and investments will function as best practice models for other BSR cities. Developments will be based on exchange of experiences between partner cities and on surveys carried out by the Aalto University. On basis of the surveys and experience exchange recommendations e.g. on multifunctional cost efficient storm water solutions will be developed for the urban planners of the BSR region and the EU to be used after the project lifetime.

C.3 Project context

C.3.1 Is the project part ofHow does the project contribute wider strategies and policies? Please describe the project's contribution to relevant strategies and policies; in particular, those concerning the project or programme area.

Indicate if the project contributes to a macro-regional strategy and describe in what way.

Description

C.3.2
What are the synergies with other past or current EU and other -projects or EU-initiatives the project makes use of?
no Answer given

C.3.3
How does the project make use of build on available knowledge?
no Answer given

C.4 Horizontal principles

Please indicate which type of contribution to horizontal principles applies to the project, and justify the choice.

Horizontal principles	Type of contribution	Description of the contribution
Sustainable development (environment)	Sustainable development (environment)	neutral
Equal opportunity and non-discrimination	Equal opportunity and non-discrimination	neutral
Equality between men and women	Equality between men and women	neutral
Information and communication technologies	Information and communication technologies	neutral
Low-carbon economy	Low-carbon economy	neutral

C.5 Work plan per work packages

Type: Management

WP Nr	WP title	WP start date	WP end date	WP Budget
1	Project management	2015-09	2018-03	
Partners' involvement				
WP responsible partner				
Describe how the management on the strategic and operational level will be carried out in the project, specifically: -structure, responsibilities and procedures for the day-to-day management and co-ordination;-communication within the partnership;-reporting and evaluation procedures;-risk and quality management-Indicate whether the management is foreseen to be externalised				

Please describe activities and deliverables within the work package

F
Activity title
F
Deliverable Title

C.5.1 Periods

Period Number	Duration (month)	Start Date	End Date	Reporting Date
1	1	2015-09-15	2016-03-14	2016-03-14
2	1	2016-03-15	2016-09-14	2016-09-14
3	1	2016-09-15	2017-03-14	2017-03-14
4	1	2017-03-15	2017-03-31	2017-03-31

C.6 Activities outside the Union part of the programme area

If applicable, please list activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) the programme area. Describe how these activities will benefit the programme area.What is the added value of activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) programme area? If applicable, please list the relevant activities and describe how they will benefit the programme area.	
Total budget of activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) the programme area (indicative)	0.00

	(indicative)	0.00
	% of total (indicative)	---

C.7 Indicative time plan

Work packages and activities	2015-09-15 - 2016-03-14	2016-03-15 - 2016-09-14

	2015-09	2016-03	2016-03	2016-09
WP1				
Activity1.1				

Work packages and activities	2016-09-15 - 2017-03-14	2017-03-15 - 2017-03-31

	2016-09	2017-03	2017-03	2017-03
WP1				
Activity1.1				

PART D - Project Budget

D.1 Project budget per co-financing source (fund) - breakdown per partner

D.2 Project budget - overview per partner/ per budget line

Partner	Partner Abbreviation	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accomodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	
Total		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
% of Total Budget		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
ERDF		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total EU funds		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ERDF equivalent		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Partner	**Partner Abbreviation**					**TOTAL BUDGET**	**(Net revenue)**	**TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET**
Total						0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Total Budget						100,00 %	100.00% of Total Budget	100.00% of Total Budget
ERDF						0.00	0.00	0.00
Total EU funds						0.00	0.00	0.00
ERDF equivalent						0.00	0.00	0.00

D.3 Project budget - overview per partner/ per period

Partner	Partner Abbreviation	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	TOTAL BUDGET	(Net revenue)	TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET
Total		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Total Budget		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100,00 %	null% of Total Budget	100.00% of Total Budget
ERDF		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total EU funds		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ERDF equivalent		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

D.4 Project budget - overview per partner/ per WP

Partner	Partner Abbreviation	Management				TOTAL BUDGET	(Net revenue)	TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET
Total		0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Total Budget		100.00				100,00 %	null% of Total Budget	100.00% of Total Budget
ERDF		0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
Total EU funds		0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00
ERDF equivalent		0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00

D.5 Project budget - overview per WP/ per period

WP number	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	TOTAL BUDGET	(Net revenue)	TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET
Management	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Total Budget	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100,00 %	null% of Total Budget	100.00% of Total Budget
ERDF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total EU funds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ERDF equivalent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

D.6 Project budget - overview per WP/ per budget line

WP number	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accomodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	
Management	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
% of Total Budget	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
ERDF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total EU funds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ERDF equivalent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

WP number					TOTAL BUDGET	(Net revenue)	TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET
Management					0.00	0.00	0.00
Total					0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Total Budget					100,00 %	100.00% of Total Budget	100.00% of Total Budget
ERDF					0.00	0.00	0.00
Total EU funds					0.00	0.00	0.00

D.7 In-kind contribution

Partner	Amount
Total	**0.00**
% of Total Budget	**100,00 %**
ERDF	0.00
Total EU funds	**0.00**
ERDF equivalent	

PART E - Partner Budget

Name of partner organisation	**Rīgas dome**
Partner ID	**452**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Rīgas dome	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Jelgavas pilsētas dome**
Partner ID	**1127**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Jelgavas pilsētas dome	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Söderhamns kommun**
Partner ID	**1140**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Söderhamns kommun	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Gävle kommun**
Partner ID	**1142**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Gävle kommun	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Tartu Linnavalitsus**
Partner ID	**1144**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Tartu Linnavalitsus	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Helsingin kaupunki**
Partner ID	**1146**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Helsingin kaupunki	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Turun kaupunki**
Partner ID	**1148**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Turun kaupunki	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Itämeren kaupunkien liiton ympäristösihteeristö c/o Turun kaupunki**
Partner ID	**1150**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Itämeren kaupunkien liiton ympäristösihteeristö c/o Turun kaupunki	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Aalto-korkeakoulusäätiö**
Partner ID	**1151**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**higher education and research**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Aalto-korkeakoulusäätiö	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**