PART A - Project summary

A.1 Project identification

Sub-programme		Central Baltic
Programme priority		P2 Sustainable use of common resources
Programme priority specific objective		2.3. Better urban planning in the Central Baltic region
Project acronym		Live Baltic Campus
Project title		Campus Areas as Labs for Participative Urban Design
Project number		155
Name of the lead partner organisation/original language		Metropolia Ammattikorkeakoulu
Name of the lead partner organisation/English		Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences
Project duration 30 months 0 days	Start date	2015-10-01
	End date	2018-03-31

A.2 Project summary

Please give a short overview of the project and describe - the common challenge of the programme area you are jointly tackling in your project; - the main overall objective of the project and the expected change your project will make to the current situation; - the main outputs you will produce and who will benefit from them; - the approach you plan to take and why is cross-border/transnational approach needed - what is new/original about it - It has to be written in the style of a press release. (ComGroup) - Transnational added value â Why do you work in a transnational setting? (ComGroup)

Live Baltic Campus (LBC) will enhance the livability of the Central Baltic (CB) urban space by working with city planners, governments, campus developers and stakeholders to utilize campus as lab for developing a proof-of-concept participatory planning method, resulting in better integrated urban management plans. Revitalisation of urban environment is vital to the region's competitiveness. As the role of universities transforms from being educators towards innovators and regional developers, their physical and social locations as hubs of development is crucial in urban planning. During the project preparation, partners have identified that universities and cities in CB face common challenges while developing campus areas, due to lack of collaboration and coordination both within city governments and with stakeholders. As the municipal authorities and campus developers deal with increasingly complex challenges, they require solutions beyond existing structures. Design thinking is an integrative approach to problem-solving which incorporates all relevant parties in finding optimum solutions.
By utilizing campus areas as a common testing ground for participative planning practices, LBC develops integrated campus development plans, service concepts and implementation plans for each region. The common objective for all cases is to develop campuses as innovation hubs by creating better urban environment for businesses and residents, contributing to new job creation in the local economy. The project facilitates knowledge transfer and joint development by creating a space for discussing policy objectives, exchanging best practices and conducting pilots aimed at improving the quality of life. The partner cities jointly develop and test participative planning methods that can then be used in many other situations. Working relationships and understanding between city administrators, universities, designers and the society are strengthened both locally and CB level.

Budget Overview

OVERALL BUDGET: 1.85 Meur PARTNER BUDGETS: Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences 0.45 Meur City of Helsinki 0.06 Meur University of Turku 0.2 Meur University of Tartu 0.2 Meur Riga Planning Region 0.2 Meur University of Latvia 0.2 Meur University of Uppsala 0.2 Meur University of Stockholm 0.25 Meur

A.3 Project budget summary

Programm Co Financing			Contribution					Total Budget
			Public Co Financing					
Funding Source	Amount	Co Financing Rate(%)	Autom Public Contrib	Other Public Contrib	Total Public Contrib	Private Contribution	Total Contribution	
ERDF	1343632.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1343632.78
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total EU Funds	1343632.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1343632.78
ERDF Equivalent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1343632.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1343632.78

Partner	Partner Abbreviation	Country	FUND	Co Financing Rate(%)	Of Total	Public Contribution	Private Contribution	Total Contribution	Total Eligible Budget	Partner Status
Metropolia Ammattikorkeakoulu	Metropolia	SUOMI / FINLAND	305273.48	75.00 %	22.72 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	407031.30	public
Rīgas Plānošanas reģions	RPR	LATVIJA	172222.75	85.00 %	12.82 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	202615.00	public
Latvijas Universitāte	UL	LATVIJA	169787.50	85.00 %	12.64 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	199750.00	public
Turun yliopisto	UTU	SUOMI / FINLAND	149250.00	75.00 %	11.11 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	199000.00	public
Tartu Ülikool	UT	EESTI	169957.50	85.00 %	12.65 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	199950.00	public
Uppsala universitet	UU	SVERIGE	150386.10	75.00 %	11.19 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	200514.80	public
Stockholms universitet	SRC	SVERIGE	182415.45	75.00 %	13.58 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	243220.60	public
Helsingin kaupunki	Helsinki	SUOMI / FINLAND	44340.00	75.00 %	3.30 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	59120.00	public
Sub Total For Partners Outside			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total			1343632.78	0.00	77.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	1711201.70	

PART B - Project partners

B.1 Project partner

Project partner 1

Partner Role In The Project	LP
Partner Name	Metropolia Ammattikorkeakoulu
Partner Name Engl	Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences

Abbreviation	Metropolia
Department	Departments - User-centered Design and Production - Audiovisual Media and Games - Cultural Services
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI1B, Helsinki-Uusimaa
Nuts Id3	FI1B1, Helsinki-Uusimaa
Postalcode City	00100 Helsinki
Street Streetnumber	Bulevardi 31
Home Page	http://www.metropolia.fi/en/
Proj Partner Assimilated	yes
Vat Number	FI20945511
Recover Vat	no
Other National Identifying Number	
Type of Identifying Number	
Type of Partner	higher education and research
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Legal Representative Firstname	Jorma
Legal Representative Lastname	Uusitalo
Legal Representative Email	
Legal Representative Telephone	
Contact Firstname	Anna-Maria
Contact Lastname	Vilkuna
Contact Email	anna-maria.vilkuna@metropolia.fi
Contact Telephone	+358403347929
Legal Status	public
Experiences	Metropolia UAS, Finland's largest university of applied sciences, educates the professionals in the fields of culture, business, health care and social services, and technology. Metropolia will in the future operate from four campuses instead of the current 20 premises. The campuses are situated in Arabianranta in Helsinki, Leppävaara in Espoo and Myyrmäki in Vantaa, with a new campus to be built in Myllypuro in Helsinki. Metropolia has broad expertise in regional development and working in collaboration with city organizations and different stakeholders. Metropolia has conducted RDI projects (lead partner in several EU-projects) and has expertise especially in utilizing design and user-centered methods for urban planning. User-Centered Campus Design project (financed by Helsinki City Innovation Fund 2013-2015) brings the view points of students, staff, local residents and entrepreneurs into the discussion when planning a new Myllypuro campus and developing the campus in Arabianranta. The project gathers experience based information from the stakeholder groups and turns them into service and spatial ideas to be implemented on the campuses. Design for Everyday Mobility (ERDF A31485) has been carrying out design-based and user oriented development activities for Helsinki Metropolitan Area built around sustainable transportation. Metropolia has been part of several programs focused in future and user oriented joint innovation (CoINNO 2014 ESF S12496, VYYHTI 2011-2014 ESF S11671 and Tuottaja 2020 ESF S10947), and Metropolia has expertise in collection experience based knowledge for citizens (Kansalaisnavigointia metropolissa 2010-2012 ERDF A31110) and interaction between educational institute and its surroundings (Urbaani luovuus 2007-2012 Helsinki City Innovation Fund). As a result of many projects Metropolia has developed MINNO® -concept, that is future and user focused innovation process, which goes through the whole innovation process together with all stakeholder

Benefit	Myllypuro Campus Development joining in on Health Capital Helsinki initiative and developing the Campus area as hub for expertise and innovation in well-being. There is growing demand for skills and services between health and wellness industries and the Myllypuro campus has excellent preconditions for grow into an important innovation hub for this in Finland and Uusimaa region. Reaching and including relevant stakeholders for on-going campus planning. Even closer collaboration with city offices. The project will also contribute to the report Sharing and developing further Metropolia's thematic expertise in applying design methods into city planning processes and combining this with disciplines of facilitation, well-being technology as well as well-being and health industries
Other International Projects	Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences (Metropolia) has extensive experience in project work. During previous years we have coordinated and participated in several EU-funded projects as detailed below. Metropolia has coordinated 39 EU-funded projects since 1999, such as international HUUTA (2004 - 2007) Interreg III A and Off-the-Records (2012 – 2013) ESF projects. In addition Metropolia has participated in following selected international EU-funded projects: - URBACT "Young people - from Exclusion to Inclusion" (2004-2006), Urbact - Innoplaza (2006 - 2007) and (2008 - 2010) ESF - LOG-Sote (2008 - 2011, as a part of the "Health and Social Care for Migrants and Ethnic Minorities in Europe (HOME)" -project funded by COST) - SAVE ENERGY (2009 - 2012) CIP-ICT PSP - KP-Lab, Developing Knowledge-Practices Laboratory (2006 - 2011) FP-6 (IST) - SNOOP - Shipping-induced NOx and SOx emissions – Operational monitoring network (2009 – 2012), CB Interreg IV A - REDUST - Best winter maintenance practices of reduce respirable street dust in urban areas – demonstration of best practices, strategy development and implementation (2011 – 2014) LIFE+ - CityMobil2 - Cities demonstrating cybernetic mobility (2012 - 2016) EU FP7 - Smart Campus - User Learning Interaction for Energy Efficiency (2012 - 2014) CIP, - ENS4Care - Evidence Based Guidelines for Nursing and Social Care on eHealth Services (2013 - 2015) CIP - THE PEOPLE'S SMART SCULPTURE (PS2-2) - Participatory Art in European Spaces (2014-2018), Creative Culture.

B.2 Project partner

Project partner 2

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Rīgas Plānošanas reģions
Partner Name Engl	Riga Planning Region
Abbreviation	RPR
Department	
Nuts Id0	LV, LATVIJA
Nuts Id2	LV00, Latvija
Nuts Id3	LV006, R?ga
Postalcode City	LV 1050 Riga
Street Streetnumber	Z.A.Meierovica blvd. 18
Home Page	www.rpr.gov.lv
Assimilated Partner	yes
Vat Numberr	LV90002222018
Recover Vat	yes
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	regional public authority
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	85.00
Legal Representative Firstname	Edgars

Legal Representative Lastname	Rantiņš
Legal Representative Email	
Legal Representative Telephone	
Contact Firstname	Dace
Contact Lastname	Grīnberga
Contact Email	dace.grinberga@rpr.gov.lv
Contact Telephone	+371 29404788
Legal Status	public
Experiences	Riga Planning Region's mission is the planning and co-ordination of regional development, and co-operation between local government and other State administrative institutions. Riga Planning Region will contribute its know-how in regional development and planning. RPR's functions include: · Elaboration, implementation and monitoring of regional-level development planning documents · Participation in elaboration of national-level planning documents and providing opinions on draft national regulatory documents · Evaluation of the region's local municipalities' territorial plans in relation to meeting the regulatory requirements · Elaboration of planning terms of reference and elaboration of opinions on the region's local municipalities' territorial plans and projects · Maintaining of the database of development planning documents · Development and implementation of projects · Coordination with municipalities in planning and project development and implementation · Organising information events for municipalities on spatial planning and project development · Elaboration of thematic studies in spatial planning · Creation of a collaborative network between the region's municipalities' planning and development specialists. RPR's functions in this project will include the implementation of development actions and facilitation of inter-institutional cooperation between local stakeholders, such as University of Latvia and Riga City Council, as well as communicating the learning and experience of pilot projects to other municipalities in Riga Region.
Benefit	RPR will gain knowledge of design thinking and citizen's involvement methods, which it will further disseminate to all municipalities in Riga Planning region by organizing local workshops and events, and by creating publicity in local media. The results and experience gained from the project (such as Inventory Report, policy recommendations, case studies on local pilot projects in partner regions, etc.) will be translated into Latvian, published and made available to the project's stakeholders – government authorities, municipalities, academics, civil activists, and the society – thus strengthening RPR's relationships with the stakeholders and its relevance in policy planning. The knowledge gained in this project will help Riga Region's municipalities in solving their planning problems and elaboration of integrated spatial development plans. Through participation in this project, RPR will become part of an emerging network of Central Baltic peers involved with participative planning problems, thus ensuring access to newest knowledge and practices. Riga Planning Region's professional capacity and skills in applying design thinking to complex spatial planning issues will be strengthened. As a result of the pilot project – integrated development plan of the University of Latvia new Academic Centre in Torņakalns – RPR will develop cooperation with the University, Riga City Council, businesses and investors, real estate developers, infrastructure developers and providers of mobility services, as well as other stakeholders. The development of the Academic Centre into an epicentre of knowledge transfer, innovation and entrepreneurship will have long-term beneficial implications for the entire Riga Planning Region.

Other International Projects	In recent years, RPR has been a partner in the following EU co-financed projects: Innovative services for international talents – Easier access to the Central Baltic Sea Region (EXPAT), 2012-2013; Baltic Sea – Asia Agenda for Regions in a Globalizing World (BASAAR), 2009-2010; Inter-country Business Incubators' Network (IBI Net); Enabling a Global Vision for the Baltic clean-tech industry (Global Vision); From detached Lisbon and Gothenburg Strategies to a regionalised indigenous EU 2020 (EU 2020 going local); Build up Skills – Latvia / Efficient Energy Building Roadmap for Latvia. Current project Live Baltic Campus is an outcome of the EUSBSR Seed Money Facility-supported project LiveBaltic (2014-2015), where Riga Planning Region was one of the partners.

Project partner 3

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Latvijas Universitāte
Partner Name Engl	University of Latvia
Abbreviation	UL
Department	
Nuts Id0	LV, LATVIJA
Nuts Id2	LV00, Latvija
Nuts Id3	LV006, R?ga
Postalcode City	LV 1586 Riga
Street Streetnumber	Raina Blvd 19
Home Page	http://www.lu.lv/eng/
Assimilated Partner	yes
Vat Numberr	
Recover Vat	yes
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	higher education and research
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	85.00
Contact Firstname	Imants
Contact Lastname	Klāvs
Contact Email	imants.klavs@lu.lv
Contact Telephone	+371 67034575
Legal Status	public
Experiences	The University of Latvia with its 15,000 students, 13 faculties and more than 20 research institutes is one of the largest comprehensive and leading research universities in the Baltic States. The University offers more than 130 state-accredited academic and professional study programmes. At University of Latvia, research is conducted in over 50 research fields which represent four main areas of inquiry: the humanities, sciences, social sciences, and education sciences. The University's ambition is to be among the leading research-based universities in Europe. The University of Latvia is currently planning and building a new campus – the Academic Centre – in Torņakalns, close to Riga city centre on a brownfield territory near railroad. The University is acting as the property developer in close coordination with the City of Riga and other stakeholders. The planning shall be done with close participation of stakeholders such as Riga municipal administration, Riga public transport company, Latvian Railway company, neighbourhood residents, important neighbours – such as the National Library, property developers and investors, architects, planners, and urban researchers, and activist groups.
Benefit	
Other International Projects	

Project partner 4

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Turun yliopisto
Partner Name Engl	University of Turku
Abbreviation	UTU
Department	Brahea Centre at the University of Turku
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI1C, Etelä-Suomi

Nuts Id3	FI1C1, Varsinais-Suomi
Postalcode City	20014 Turku
Street Streetnumber	Yliopistonmäki
Home Page	http://www.utu.fi/en/units/braheadevelopment/Pages/home.aspx
Assimilated Partner	yes
Vat Numberr	FI02458963
Recover Vat	no
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	higher education and research
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Legal Representative Firstname	Kari
Legal Representative Lastname	Seppälä
Legal Representative Email	
Legal Representative Telephone	
Contact Firstname	Johanna
Contact Lastname	Aaltonen
Contact Email	johaalt@utu.fi
Contact Telephone	+358 40 199 3870
Legal Status	public
Experiences	The University of Turku (UTU) is an internationally acknowledged, multidisciplinary scientific university. The expertise of seven faculties ranges from humanities to natural sciences and business. UTU is recognised for the quality of teaching, research and excellent student support services. With 21 000 students and 3 500 employees it is one of the major universities in Finland. The values of the UTU include transparency – willingness to engage in dialogue with the community and as dissemination of research results. UTU sees societal interaction as a part of its basic task integrated with research and teaching. The responsible unit in the project is the Brahea Centre that takes care of operational and financial duties. The Centre operates at the regional, national and international levels and it has an extensive experience in coordinating and managing EU-financed projects e.g. under ESF, Lifelong Learning, INTERREG and Framework programmes. The Brahea is the expert organisation of training and development bridging scientific research and surrounding society for professional and business growth. UTU will implement a pilot that supports the regional ReadyStudyGoTurku (RSGT) agreement that has been signed by most of the regional HEIs and student unions, and is part of the strategic growth agreement between the C. of Turku and the State. The pilot will focus on improving the livability, visibility, and unity of all the regional campuses, and especially by involving the HEI communities themselves. Design thinking seems to be promising for this and in joint development activities of different HEIs because of its open approach. UTU will strengthen its role in the RSGT cooperation and in regional student activities, like the Project Aces and the JA Challenge Innovation Camps, and also its connections with other HEIs within the Central Baltic, and aims to long-term cooperation with other regional stakeholders. C. of Turku is an associated partner.

Benefit	The project enables capacity building and change making on individual, organisational and society level. Project and university personnel will get to know with design thinking methods, experts, and processes that are yet unfamiliar unlike for example at the Metropolia University of Applied Sciences. Also, using of the IT based participatory tools and platforms are partly new. On organisational level, University of Turku will have resources for regional cocreation of the RSGT that benefits at the same the City of Turku, the Åbo Akademi, Turku University of Applied Sciences, HUMAK University of Applied Sciences, Yrkehögskolan Novia and Diaconia University of Applied Sciences, and the main Student Unions of them. Design thinking allows equal and open participation possibilities to all the institutions, and takes especially into account the immense potential of 40 000 academic citizens living in the city, and their user experiences. The main project result is a regional shared vision in the Integrated Campus Development Plan that is targeted for development in the foreseeable future for all the HEIs in the region – to make the student city more liveable and integrated. Because University of Turku is the official project partner, it includes a more detailed Implementation plan and feasibility analysis part for the University of Turku. On society level, the project will strengthen the new role of universities in the regional development, and the modernisation of University of Turku, as well as closer networking with the other partnering and participating HEIs from the Central Baltic. After the project time, University of Turku will continue the regional cooperation to enable the implementation of the Plan, and to find public and private resources to do that. The project improves the capacity of competing internationally by improving the university environment and community, and offers thus new business assets at the same.
Other International Projects	The project would be implemented in cooperation with the City of Turku (Associated Partner) and the Baltic Urban Lab project (CB77 application) that will create a win-win situation and complementary activities for both projects. University of Turku is a stakeholder in the CB77 project pilot of the City of Turku, and the campuses are partly overlapping with the CB77 brownfield project pilot of the City of Turku. In CB77, University of Turku is a WP leader, and has a different role from the Live Baltic Campus as an expert organisation and facilitator of the piloting cities within the Central Baltic. In Live Baltic Campus, University is implementing a regional pilot itself. University of Turku (UTU) has coordinated the major university network, the Baltic Sea Region University Network (BSRUN) that constitutes now of 28 member universities. In addition, UTU participates in several international networks, like the Coimbra Group. UTU and its Brahea Centre at the University of Turku are experienced international project coordinators that have a structured quality system of their own and a high level control within the management and finances of projects. UTU has often taken the responsibility of financial management in the projects it coordinates, and has therefore gained experience from EU-financing, especially within the Interreg programmes. University of Turku was active and succesfull in implementing projects of the Central Baltic 2007–2013 Programme. There were almost 20 projects in all, and many of them were coordinated and managed from the present Brahea Centre at the University of Turku. It was the project Lead (BASIS, DEVEPARK, FLEX, LEMON), and a Project Partner (Knowsheep, MINWA, ProNatMat) within the Central Baltic 2007–2013 Programme. The Centre is experienced in managing EU-financed projects, and it has had over 100 international projects.

Project partner 5

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Tartu Ülikool
Partner Name Engl	University of Tartu
Abbreviation	UT

Department	Department of Geography
Nuts Id0	EE, EESTI
Nuts Id2	EE00, Eesti
Nuts Id3	EE008, Lõuna-Eesti
Postalcode City	50090 Tartu
Street Streetnumber	Ülikooli 18
Home Page	http://www.ut.ee/en
Assimilated Partner	yes
Vat Numberr	EE100030417
Recover Vat	no
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	higher education and research
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	85.00
Legal Representative Firstname	Marco
Legal Representative Lastname	Kirm
Legal Representative Email	
Legal Representative Telephone	
Contact Firstname	Rein
Contact Lastname	Ahas
Contact Email	rein.ahas@ut.ee
Contact Telephone	+372-5035914
Legal Status	public
Experiences	Tartu University is Estonia's leading centre of research and training. The pilot is selection of the location for the new IT Centre (building for IT education, research and start-up companies) building of the University of Tartu. It concentrates on one of the classical questions in developing university campuses: is it reasonable to develop the historical campus in the city center or contribute to the integral development of the new campus in the outskirts of the city? Which solution is the most beneficial for the development of the city? For the cooperation with entrepreneurship and the public? As an important research, a tracking survey based on the smartphones of students of various specialties and a questionnaire survey will be conducted. By random sampling, respondents will be found from among the employees and students of the university and handed phones equipped with the YouSense software developed in cooperation of the University of Tartu and University of Cambridge. Based on the results of tracking and the survey, the effect of the location of the place of residence, place of work and the school building on usage of the urban space and transport will be analyzed. Most suitable solutions for entrepreneurship cooperation with start-ups and ITC companies will be found. Together with city government will be worked out suggestions for city master plan and policy recommendations for Estonian government. The experience will be shared with other partner cities. The study will be led by Rein Ahas, Prof. of Human Geography.

Benefit	Campus development in UT has been an issue since Estonia's reindependence in 1991. The campus buildings of UT are concentrated mainly to City Centre and suburban Maarjamõisa district. However, the campus planning decisions were mainly top-down at the time and lacked thorough analysis. For further development, well thought-out analyses are needed along with close cooperation with Tartu City Government. As Tartu is well-known for its high concentration of students, the planning decisions need to account both students' and other inhabitants' needs. Important areas of work incl. infrastructure planning, transportation management and development of urban functions. The campus development was also analysed in Tartu Higher Education Plan by city government in 2012-'14. The project will help to evaluate the impact of previous planning decisions and analyse current spatial processes related to campus development in Tartu. Special interest lies in students' and staff members' spatial mobility, their consumption of urban functions and transportation services as it helps to tackle the problems resulting from previous planning decisions. The documents of previous plans will be analysed and future approaches discussed in focus groups with Uni members and city planners. Aside the qualitative approach, quantitative data collected with smartphone based questionnaire and tracking experiment will be also used. Results from focus groups and spatial analyses will be applied to Master plan renewal in cooperation with City Planning Office. Well elaborated campus plan is crucial for enhancing the uni's attractiveness both at the local and international scale, which is in turn important for the development of Tartu. Project is also necessary for UT's academic sphere, mostly due to development of unique smartphone and tracking methodology (an important specialisation of UT). This methodological goal has overall importance for improving the geography and spatial planning education in Estonia.
Other International Projects	The Chair of Human Geography and Regional Planning has participated in many international research projects which have focused on urban geography, spatial mobility and urban planning. From urban planning and mobility projects can be mentioned Helsinki-Tallinn Transport and Planning Scenarios (H-TTransPlan) project (Central Baltic Interreg IV A) with mobility analyses and related transportation scenarios. For analysing urban diversity, spatial processes and development can be mentioned EC 7th Framework project (PI prof. Ronald van Kempen) project DIVERCITIES. Creating social cohesion, social mobility and economic performance in today's hyper-diversified cities in 2014–2017; and EC 7th Framework project DIVERSE. Diversity Improvement as a Viable Enrichment Resource for Society and Economy (PI prof. Laura Zanfrini). For developing smartphone based research methodology and analytical tools can be mentioned the Eurostat Contract (No. 30501.2012.001-2012.452) Feasibility study on the use of mobile positioning data for tourism statistics in 2012-2014 and EU Regional Development Foundation, Env. Conservation & Technology R&D Program project TERIKVANT (3.2.0802.11-0043). „Risk assessment models for emergency management". For green planning projects can be mentioned PHARE Cross-Border Co-operation Programme in the Baltic Sea Region. 2002/000-636.01-0014. Sustainable planning for the built environment in the Baltic Sea Region.

Project partner 6

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Uppsala universitet
Partner Name Engl	Uppsala University
Abbreviation	UU
Department	
Nuts Id0	SE, SVERIGE
Nuts Id2	SE12, Östra Mellansverige
Nuts Id3	SE121, Uppsala län
Postalcode City	75105 Uppsala
Street Streetnumber	Box 256
Home Page	http://www.uu.se/en/
Assimilated Partner	yes
Vat Numberr	SE202100293201
Recover Vat	no
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	higher education and research
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Contact Firstname	Annika
Contact Lastname	Sundås Larsson
Contact Email	annika.sundas-larsson@uadm.uu.se
Contact Telephone	+46 70 4250506
Legal Status	public
Experiences	Uppsala is Sweden's 4th largest city and one of its oldest. Uppsala is a rapidly growing city and is becoming increasingly integrated with the wider Stockholm region while having kept many of its small-town characteristics - offerings are myriad, but everything is close by. Uppsala University is one of northern Europe's highest ranking universities and has three disciplinary domains: humanities and social science, medicine and pharmacy as well as science and technology - in numbers 6800 employees and 45000 students. It has 10 campuses in the city of Uppsala and one in Visby. A challenge for the university is to develop work modes where we learn from, are inspired by, and challenge each other across subject, faculty, and domain boundaries in terms of work to enhance the quality of our research and education. The breadth of the research gives strength and opportunities and offers a large potential to tackle the challenges faced by society. Collaboration is promoted with public and private entities, both locally and globally. Infrastructures for research and education comprise from major research facilities to e.g. libraries, databases, IT systems, and premises as well as housing. Some of these are international, others national and local, but all will require long-term planning and well-considered funding strategies. Today part of the Uni administration and the Department of IT are situated here, but will move to new buildings. The Department of IT will move to a new addition in an integrated campus area with other departments. This building complex is next to the old regement area and the new building will be ready in 2020. The City of Uppsala is in the process of planning for the growth region "Södra staden" including Polacksbacken. Thus, university together with the real estate owner and the city has a good opportunity to plan for the integration between the city and the Uni - meaning gains for the HEI, its students and entrepreneurship and public.
Benefit	
Other International Projects	

Project partner 7

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Stockholms universitet
Partner Name Engl	Stockholm University
Abbreviation	SRC
Department	Stockholm Resilience Center
Nuts Id0	SE, SVERIGE
Nuts Id2	SE11, Stockholm
Nuts Id3	SE110, Stockholms län
Postalcode City	106 91 Stockholm
Street Streetnumber	Svante Arrhenius väg 30
Home Page	www.su.se
Assimilated Partner	yes
Vat Numberr	SE202100306201
Recover Vat	yes
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	higher education and research
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Legal Representative Firstname	Olof
Legal Representative Lastname	Olsson
Legal Representative Email	
Legal Representative Telephone	
Contact Firstname	Lena
Contact Lastname	Lundqvist
Contact Email	Lena.Lundqvist@su.se
Contact Telephone	+46 8 16 22 89
Legal Status	public

Experiences	The new Albano Campus has been developed in collaboration between SU departments of buildings and researchers at Stockholm Resilience Centre and the Royal School of Architecture in Stockholm for it to serve as a model for sustainable urban development. In this process a new paradigm of urban sustainability and development was born: Social-Ecological Urbanism. The work resulted in a co-produced detailed plan with the City Architect in Stockholm. Insights about the working process behind this work include the importance of: 1) Interdisciplinary working collaborations between the different disciplines as well as employees centrally at SU exchanging knowledge and terminology in productive ways. 2) Transdisciplinary translation of scientific knowledge into physical, institutional and discursive artifacts that both 'protect' and communicate the vision. 3) Respect for and knowledge about how to navigate within the power landscape in which urban planning and design is embedded. In 2010, the Q book was presented to the City planning office, local politicians and the university leadership. Further investigations, reports and alterations were undertaken until the 2012 when the City Council of Stockholm approved the detailed plan. Social-Ecological urbanism includes ecosystem services and resilience thinking in the detailed urban planning framework. We deem that important as a step in providing design solutions for the city's aim of ecosystem based urban transformation. This thinking brings novel ideas with interesting repercussions for the international debate on sustainable urban development. The concept of Social-ecological urbanism can be seen as a 2nd generation, since it deals not only with designs for mitigation of CO2 emissions, but also with adaptation measures to enhance adaptive capacities by integrating ecosystems and their services in planning and urban design. This expertise is shared and developed further with project partners.
Benefit	Stockholm Resilience Centre (SRC) is an international research centre placed under the Faculty of Science at Stockholm University, and it is governed by an independent international board. A core strategy of the SRC is to function and strengthen its role as an international, innovative science-to-policy institution at the frontier of sustainability science. The Centre is a creative hub for generating new scientific understanding, to draw on multiple perspectives and to build new scientific methods and languages on the international arena. Today, the Centre enjoys a strong academic position within sustainability science and an increasing trust among political institutions and actors. One major benefit for SRC, and especially for the urban research there, is to engage in larger international spread of information about the co-creation process that lead to the Albano Resilient Campus and the final result. We would also benefit from a wider spread about the framework on social-ecological urbanism that is underlying the detailed plan of the campus area. Hence, major benefits are to be an active partner for the spread of the integration of ecological expertise and architecture in urban design, and creating a larger international platform for our expertise. Another major benefit is to be a part of a real influence in the transition towards sustainable urban development at the broader scale of the Baltic region that the lead in urban sustainability. A third benefit is to learn from frameworks, ideas, expertise and experiences of all partners in the consortium, and to co-create innovations with partners in the consortium related to sustainable urbanism and related to innovative design processes, and solutions on the ground. A final benefit is to be part of building a science-practice network in the Baltic region, that can lay a foundation platform for learning, innovation, friendship and trust building in urban sustainability.

Other International Projects	A selection of EU collaborations: The network of collaborators of the SRC in Sweden and internationally is extensive, with 18 MoUs and 38 agreements and an additional 45 key institutional collaborators within the Centre network. Projects and collaborations funded or connected to the European Commission are similarly increasing. Here are two examples that relate to the work of LIVE BALTIC CAMPUS Green Infrastructure and Urban Biodiversity for Sustainable Urban Development and the Green Economy – Green Surge The GREEN SURGE project is a collaborative project between 24 partners in 11 countries. It is funded by the European Commission Seventh Framework Programme (FP7). GREEN SURGE will identify, develop and test ways of linking green spaces, biodiversity, people and the green economy in order to meet the major urban challenges related to land use conflicts, climate change adaptation, demographic changes, and human health and wellbeing. It will provide a sound evidence base for urban green infrastructure planning and implementation, exploring the potential for innovation in better linking environmental, social and economic ecosystem services with local communities. www.greensurge.eu ARTS – Accelerating and rescaling transitions to sustainability ARTS aims to have an aggregated impact on transition regions, on national and European policy related to facilitating transitions, and on theory and governance of sustainability transitions. The focal unit of analysis will be innovative activities and related actor-networks that are fundamentally changing energy, food, shelter and mobility provisioning patterns at the scale of a city or region, situated within a wider European context. The programme is an EU FP7 programme scheduled for the period 2014-2016. Its total budget is 3.7 million Euro of which EU contributes with 3 million Euro. www.acceleratingtransitions.eu/

Project partner 8

Partner Role In The Project	PP
Partner Name	Helsingin kaupunki
Partner Name Engl	City of Helsinki
Abbreviation	Helsinki
Department	City Executive Office, Economic Development
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI1B, Helsinki-Uusimaa
Nuts Id3	FI1B1, Helsinki-Uusimaa
Postalcode City	00099 Helsingin kaupunki
Street Streetnumber	Unioninkatu 28 A 28A
Home Page	www.hel.fi
Assimilated Partner	yes
Vat Numberr	FI02012566
Recover Vat	no
Other National Identifying Number	
Type Of Identifying Number	
Type Of Partner	local public authority
Co Financing Source	ERDF
Co Financing%	75.00
Legal Representative Firstname	Pekka
Legal Representative Lastname	Sauri
Legal Representative Email	
Legal Representative Telephone	
Contact Firstname	Ida
Contact Lastname	Björkbacka
Contact Email	ida.bjorkbacka@hel.fi
Contact Telephone	+358 9 310 25 281

Legal Status	public
Experiences	Within the project the City Executive Office aims to improve the competitiveness of campus areas and to unleash their innovation potential by stakeholder participation (esp. entrepreneurs, SMEs and other businesses). A trend in Helsinki is the seeking of synergy benefits by clustering similar disciplines near each other and thus creating districts of innovation and competence. Institutions of higher education prefer centralizing their functions into larger campuses which are located near good services and are easily accessible by public transport. The city acknowledges the value of higher education institutions to city districts. The pilot case of the Myllypuro campus is strategically significant, as the City sees the campus as bringing value to the eastern suburbs of Helsinki benefitting the image of the whole district and creating new opportunities. The City Executive Office aims to ensure that the project is connected to the city planning process and that the project results are used within city administration. This process serves as a test case and study to be applied by other regions. The City of Helsinki already has some expertise of using design and participatory methods within urban planning process. Currently the City of Helsinki (in collaboration with cities of Espoo, Kauniainen and Lahti) has a two-year Design Driven City initiative (2013-2015), with an aim to develop cities with design methods, and a way to bring the public sector and the design field close to one another. While the aforementioned experience will be shared among the partner regions as inspiration, the methods can also be explored by other CB cities in developing an integrated planning tool suitable for the CB context.
Benefit	The City of Helsinki has set a strategic goal for the development of campus areas to become important hubs for innovation and business. We expect the project to provide our organization with valuable knowledge and opportunities to benchmark campus development projects in other regions. We will actively take part in the events organized within the project and gather participants from the different units within the City administration, i.g. City Planning, City Real Estate, Economic Development and Urban Development and link their work and the outcomes of the project to each other. The City also wants to connect the City's, the University of Helsinki's and the Aalto University's new urban development research-cooperation platform called the "Urban Academy" to the project in order to find synergies. The pilot theme of the Urban Academy is namely campus development and the aim is to identify the need for further research projects on the theme. As a part of the project the city together with Metropolia will pilot a participative planning-process for entrepreneurs linked to the Myllypuro campus in order to find ways to maximize the entrepreneurial benefits and innovational outputs of the campus. The pilot will give the city officers information that can be used in other similar cases and shared with the other project partners.
Other International Projects	The City of Helsinki has a lot of project-experience and has carried out several Interreg and other EU co-financed projects.

B.3 Project partner

Project partner 9

Partner Name	Turun kaupunki
Partner Name Engl	City of Turku
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI1C, Etelä-Suomi
Nuts Id3	FI1C1, Varsinais-Suomi
Postalcode City	20100 Turku
Street Streetnumber	Yliopistonkatu 27a
Contact Firstname	Risto
Contact Lastname	Veivo
Contact Email	risto.veivo@turku.fi
Contact Telephone	+358 50 5590 417
Partners Role	City of Turku together with Turku University sees to collaboration and sharing of information and results between Baltic Urban Lab and Live Baltic Campus projects. City of Turku will also participate in regional stakeholder group activities.
Benefit For Project	
Associated to partner	University of Turku

Project partner 10

Partner Name	Uudenmaan liitto
Partner Name Engl	Uusimaa Regional Council
Nuts Id0	FI, SUOMI / FINLAND
Nuts Id2	FI1B, Helsinki-Uusimaa
Nuts Id3	FI1B1, Helsinki-Uusimaa
Postalcode City	00240 Helsinki
Street Streetnumber	Esterinportti 2
Contact Firstname	Christine
Contact Lastname	Chang
Contact Email	christine.chang@uudenmaanliitto.fi
Contact Telephone	+358 44 3533014
Partners Role	Uusimaa Regional Council will support the project in the formation of the regional local stakeholder group and dissemination of the project outcomes to the region at the occasions of it political council meeting or regional land use expert working group.
Benefit For Project	
Associated to partner	Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences

PART C - Project description

C.1 Project relevance

C.1.1 What are the common territorial challenges that will be tackled by the project Please describe the relevance of your project for the programme area in terms of common challenges and/or joint assets addressed?

The revitalisation of urban environment is an important factor of economic competitiveness for the region, as vital and livable cities can compete globally to attract the best talents. Cities in CB differ in their 'quality of life' as measured by various livability indicators. The problems that municipal authorities face are increasingly multi-faceted and require solutions beyond existing structures, departmental boundaries and borders between administration and citizens. Design thinking is an integrative approach to problem-solving which incorporates all relevant parties in finding optimum solutions. Campus areas are ideal testing grounds for methods and practices in participative urban planning because they provide a natural context for different stakeholders to meet and co-develop. As the role of universities transforms from pure educators towards innovators, business idea facilitators and regional developers, their physical and social location as hubs of urban development is crucial for city planning in many CB cities. Major national universities in Riga and Tartu are planning and building modern, consolidated campuses outside of the city centre. In Helsinki the campuses of Metropolia UAS are being centralized on two locations. C. of Helsinki has set a strategic goal for campus areas to become important hubs for local and regional development. Uppsala is a relatively small city where university plays a central role. C. of Stockholm views the campuses of its three main universities (incl. Stockholm University) in an important role for the city's development. U. of Turku supports the regional strategic decision to market Turku as a student city, and would like to increase the visibility of all the HE campuses in the city. All these cases share the need for an integrated approach in planning - to include relevant stakeholders (students, teachers, researchers, business people, neighbourhood residents and others) in making better decisions and communicating them.

C.1.2 What is the projectâs approach in addressing these common challenges and/or joint assets and what is new about the approach the project takes? Please describe new solutions that will be developed during the project and/or existing solutions that will be adopted and implemented during the project lifetime and in what way the approach goes beyond existing practice in the sector/programme area/participating countries.

The shared goal of the project between the universities and the cities is to create, exchange, and spread the knowledge and best practices of applying design thinking (incl. stakeholder participation) to city development. The universities and the cities in the CB have faced the same problems while developing campus areas: the lack of collaboration and coordination both within the city governments and with the stakeholders. The Design thinking is a proven and repeatable problem solving protocol focusing carefully on the context, generating insights and solutions, analysing rationally the various solutions to the problem's context, and incorporating all relevant parties. HEIs, in their role as the creators of innovations, have great potential to act as facilitators.
Project combines design and facilitation-based methods and creates a new working method for participative urban planning that can be adopted by cities as part of their normal work. The multi-disciplinary approach is extended to include stakeholders from different city departments and contributing methods for collaboration within the city organizations. Helsinki's experiences from World Design Capital 2012 and in Design Driven City initiative are utilized. There are local and interregional design workshops with stakeholders in each partner city, focused on the selected campuses, along with communication and dissemination activities involving the wider society. An open-source platform developed in D-CENT (EU 7thFP) project is utilized and developed further. The decentralized social networking platform enables large-scale collaboration in urban planning.
As a result, integrated campus development plans, service concepts and implementation plans are created for each location. The process and experience of pilots are documented as case studies, to serve as guidelines for city councils and other stakeholders in applying design thinking methods in solving urban problems.

C.1.3 Why is cross-border/transnational cooperation needed to achieve the projectâs objectives and result?Please explain why the project goals cannot be efficiently reached acting only on a national/regional/local level and/or describe what benefits the project partners/target groups/project area gain in taking a cross-border / transnational approach.

In the context of the urban planning, the project examines the higher education institutions (HEIs) as key drivers for the regional development and livability of the regions, and for the attractiveness and growth of the whole Central Baltic region. Taking into account the development disparity between the Northern CB and Southern CB where Finnish and Swedish partners already have some knowledge to transfer and Estonia and Latvian partners can provide an untouched area for the testimonial on the effectiveness and proof-of sound method that the design thinking method can lead to an integrated urban planning and management.

At each city the project forms a local stakeholder group composed of regional and municipal administration, relevant national authorities, other universities/research institutes, civic society groups, to contribute to the implementation of the project and learn from other partner cities.

Throughout the project life, regional stakeholders groups will have many opportunities to interact with their cross-border counterparts, facilitating the exchanges of experiences and knowledge, and fostering the establishment of sustainable cross-border networks among policy makers as well as practitioners. There is already considerable knowledge and experience in integrated urban management accumulated by Finnish and Swedish partners.

The project offers the CB cities to update and further develop its competence in planning process. Without the project, cities and regions will remain separated in its own planning process without seeing the CB as greater picture. Despite the social and economic differences – or because of them - joint work on planning, implementing, reflecting on, and communicating the project will be enriching and beneficial for all the partners involved in forming joint approaches in urban planning process.

C.1.4 Please select all cooperation criteria that apply to your project and describe how you will fulfil them.Cooperation criteria Please select all that applies to your project

Cooperation criteria	Description
Joint Development	Project partners received EUSBSR Seed Money support, and project concept, methodology and envisaged results have been refined in a number of partners' meetings from April 2014 to June 2015. Local stakeholders in each region have also been involved.
Joint Implementation	Partners will jointly manage the project and work together in all work packages. Project partners will jointly create and implement a project communications plan, the framework of pilot projects, as well as Liveable City Forums in each region.
Joint Staffing	Partners' staff involved in the project will have close working relationships throughout the project, maintaining regular communication between joint events. Project partners will work jointly on developing methodologies to benefit each consortium member.
Joint Financing	Project partners will contribute their co-financing towards the common goals of project. Partners' financing will cover common outputs, such as reports, methodologies, events, and publicity.

C.2 Project focus

C.2.1 Project objectives, expected result and main outputs

Programme priority specific objective

Project main overall objective

What is the main overall objective of the project and how does it link to the programmeâs objective?Specify one project main objective and describe its contribution to the programme priority specific objective.

The project aims to revitalize and to enhance the livability of the CB urban space by bringing city planners, governments, campus developers and stakeholders to utilize the campus as labs for developing a proof-of sound participatory planning method which results in urban plans of a better integrated urban management of the CB region. The project creates a space for interaction for city officials, residents, NGOs, SMEs, design and facilitation professionals both on local and regional level. The main objective is to create a working method for participative urban planning that can be adopted by cities as part of their normal work. By connecting these stakeholders through the project, the project will create a network of practitioners which can take a life of its own after the project conclusion ensuring knowledge transfer between the Northern and Southern CB. Pilot implementations conducted in each area explore participative urban planning in its different phases, from preceding the official planning, to activities supplementing the official planning and following the official process. However, the project aims to integrate activities very closely to the official process and to design the methods so that they can be adopted as part of the official planning process later on. The project creates a platform for discussing policy objectives, exchanging best practices and conducting pilot projects aimed at improving the quality of life indicators in Baltic Sea cities. The project develops ICT and online tools for this interaction.

Programme result

Select one programme result indicator your project will contribute to.

R2.3. Share of urban areas covered with integrated urban management

Project main result

What is are the projectâs main results and how does it they link to the programme result indicator? Specify your one or more projectâs main result and describe its their contribution to the programme result indicator.
The project uses campus areas as labs for urban planning and development, a setting for capacity building and experience exchange. As the main result, project creates integrated campus development plans, as well as service concepts and implementation plans for each partner region, via pilot cases co-developed with the city government and stakeholders. The pilot cases are situated in different phases of the official city planning process to gain as much experience as possible. E.g. the pilot area in Riga is a brownfield territory near railroad, therefore dealing with the quality of life in terms of noise, pollution, etc., whereas in Helsinki the pilot area is going through a structural change. The common objective for all the cases is to develop campuses as innovation hubs by creating better urban environment for businesses and residents, thus creating new jobs and having positive impacts on the local economy. In addition project creates a platform for discussing policy objectives, exchanging best practices and improving the quality of life indicators. By connecting municipal administrators, professionals, academics, and active citizens, the project creates a network of practitioners, which can take a life of its own after the project conclusion. While its direct beneficiaries are the universities and cities where pilot projects take place, the project serves as an example of participative, collaborative planning, which can be adopted in other urban development situations. Working relationships between city administrators, universities, design professionals and the society are created and strengthened both locally and at CB level. The D-Cent, online ICT solution for decentralized social networking, is developed further. Result indicator: integrated campus development plans developed in each partner city. Population / area: Helsinki 621863/715.49 km2; Turku 184123/306.37 km2; Uppsala 140454/48.79 km2; Stockholm 909976/188 km2; Tartu 98449/38.86 km2; Riga 701977/304

Project overall objectives

Which are the specific objectives the project will be working towards? Define max. 3 project specific objectives.

Title of specific objective	Please provide a short explanation on the defined specific objectives

Project main outputs Overview table on project outputs as defined in the work plan

Programme output indicators (s)	Programme output indicator targets	Project Target Sum	Measurment Unit	Project main output quantification (target)	Project main output number	Project main output (title)

C.2.2 Target groups

Target group/-s	Please further specify the target group/s (e.g., bilingual elementary schools, environmental experts, etc.).	Target value Please indicate the size of the target group you will reach.
local public authority		0
regional public authority		0
sectoral agency		0
infrastructure and (public) service provider		0
interest groups including NGOs		0
higher education and research		0
enterprise, excluding SME		0
SME		0
business support organisation		0
General public		0

C.2.3 Durability of project outputs and results

How does will the project ensure that project outputs and result/s have a lasting effect beyond project duration? Please describe concrete measures (including institutional structures, financial resources, etc.) taken during and after project implementation to ensure and/or strengthen the durability of the projectâs outputs and results. Explain how outputs will be further used once the project has been finalised and, iIf relevant, explain who will be responsible and/or who will be the owner of results and outputs.
no Answer given

C.2.4 Transferability of project outputs and results

How does will the project ensure that project outputs and results are applicable and replicable by other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership? Please describe to what extent it will be possible to transfer the outputs and results to other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership.
no Answer given

Overview information of the project activity plan and how the objectives will be reached
2015 - Kick-off /WP1,WP2, modifications on online tools/WP3 2016 - Inventory report/WP3 - Joint pilot project development, local implementations/WP4 - Study visit to cutting edge campus area/WP3 - Livable City Forums I & II /WP3 - Partners meetings, communications/WP1,WP2 2017 - Pilot implementations & joint conclusions/WP4 - Livable City Forums III & IV /WP3 - Partners meetings, communications/WP1,WP2 - Publications/WP2 2018 - Reporting/WP1 Project partners will form regional stakeholders groups (regional & municipal administration, relevant national authorities, other universities, businesses, civic society groups, etc). They are the main beneficiaries of the project, as policy recommendations and the practices and experiences of participative planning are made available for stakeholders' uptake as well as for the wider audiences via related networks (e.g. Nordic Sustainable Campus Network). The project has received the support letter from the VASAB BSR under the EUSBSR HA: Spatial planning as a potential flagship project. The VASAB Secretariat will be involved in the implementation of the project activity as a Steering group member as well as in the dissemination of the project outcomes. Pilots are conducted in selected campuses in each partner city, working closely with local stakeholders. These result in integrated campus development plans, service concepts and implementation plans. The process and experience of pilots are documented as case studies, to serve as guidelines for city councils and other stakeholders. Interregional Liveable City Forums are held in each partner country: 1) Policy Forum connects policy-makers and senior representatives of local stakeholders to discuss policy objectives on the role of university campuses as part of city development. 2) Workshop connects practitioners - city planners, researchers, designers, activists, etc - to discuss the hands-on issues and experiences of applying design thinking in urban planning.

C.3 Project context

C.3.1 How does the project contribute wider strategies and policies? Please describe the projectâs contribution to relevant strategies and policies; in particular, those concerning the project or programme area.

Indicate if the project contributes to a macro-regional strategy and describe in what way.	
Description	
EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region	Objective: Increase prosperity / Promote competitiveness and attractiveness in the Region - Implementation of Europe 2020 strategy Indirectly supporting the strategy by creating better environemtns for innovation, strengthening links between universities, cities, businesses, society - Improved global competitiveness Developing more competitive campuses, for more competitive universities, contributing to more competitive cities and businesses based in those cities - Climate change adaptation / indirectly, by making better campus planning decisions, making them more resilient to climate change based on Stockholm University experiences VASAB

C.3.2 Synergies

What are the synergies with other past or current EU and other -projects or EU-initiatives the project makes use of?
Baltic Urban Lab: focus more on the 'macro' city-level perspective, whereas Live Baltic Campus project focus is on the 'micro' scale – developing and applying design methods towards making better solutions in local territorial planning. City of Turku, which is one of the partners in Baltic Urban Lab project, is also an associated partner in Live Baltic Campus. VASAB secretariat, which is an associated partner in Baltic Urban Lab project, continues to provide advice and guidance to Live Baltic Campus.

C.3.3 Knowledge
How does the project make use of build on available knowledge?
Experience of Metropolia with design methods for citizen involvement: teachers, researchers University of Stockholm: research on development of sustainable campus City of Helsinki: practical experience of working with designers in urban planning (World Design Capital year theme and Design Driven City project Innovation hub/environment reseachs that we can use?

C.4 Horizontal principles

Please indicate which type of contribution to horizontal principles applies to the project, and justify the choice.

Horizontal principles	Description of the contribution	Type of contribution
Sustainable development (environment)	Indirectly positive, by making better campus planning decisions, making them more resilient to climate change based on Stockholm University experiences	positive
Equal opportunity and non-discrimination	The design methods are explicitly inclusive, promoting participation by all society groups and stakeholders, contributing to understanding between the groups and giving equal voice to all.	positive
Equality between men and women	Design methods are also promoting equality between men and women by providing a welcoming, participatory discussion and decision-making framework. We can study university teacher and student population figures and make an argument how improving conditions at campuses improves equality?	neutral
Information and communication technologies	Using the online platform and other tools helps to apply and perfect ICT solutions for citizen participation and communication in planning	positive
Low-carbon economy	Better planned campuses, including mobility solutions, contribute to reduced carbon emissions	positive

C.5 Work plan per work packages

Type: Preparation

WP Nr	Project Preparation	WP start date	WP end date	WP Budget
0	Preparation	2015-01	2015-09	
Partners Involvement				
University of Tartu	PP			
University of Latvia	PP			
Stockholm University	PP			
City of Helsinki	PP			
University of Turku	PP			
Summary description and objective of the work package				
Metropolia and RPR elaborate the application for 2nd stage, while all other partners prepare budgets and partner contributions. All partners prepared for and most participated in a Partners Meeting in Helsinki on May 12th 2015.				

Type: Management

WP Nr	WP title	WP start date	WP end date	WP Budget
1	Management	2015-10	2018-03	

Partners Involvement	
WP responsible partner	Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences
Uppsala University	PP
Riga Planning Region	PP
University of Turku	PP
Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences	LP
University of Latvia	PP
University of Tartu	PP
Stockholm University	PP
City of Helsinki	PP

Describe how the management on the strategic and operational level will be carried out in the project, specifically: -structure, responsibilities and procedures for the day-to-day management and co-ordination;-communication within the partnership;-reporting and evaluation procedures;-risk and quality management-Indicate whether the management is foreseen to be externalised

Metropolia recruites a project manager for the project as a whole (coordination, administration etc.). In addition each partner has a part-time project coordinator to connect regional activities and knowhow on an interregional level. Project coordinators will coordinate the regional pilot projects and act as a contact point for project administrations, FLC's and communications. Joint planning and implementations are coordinated in partner meetings which are held four times a year (some online and some alongside of other events i.e. Kick-off, Livable City Forums). Open Knowledge Finland will offer a online platform for joint development for collaboration between project partners. As the Lead partner Metropolia will coordinate the self-evaluation activities during the project utilising the 3x3 method it has developed within earlier projects. Steering group is formed from representatives from each partner institutions (both PP's and AP's), VASAB secretariat...

Please describe activities and deliverables within the work package

Activity 1.1	Organising a kick-off meeting	01.10.2015	28.10.2015	0.00
Deliverable 1.1.1	Kick-off meeting		1.00	28.10.2015
Deliverable 1.1.2	Implementation plan		1.00	28.10.2015
Activity 1.2	Partners meeting	01.10.2015	31.03.2018	0.00
Deliverable 1.2.1	Face-to-face meeting held along side other events (Kick-off, Livable City Forums, Final Conference)		6.00	31.03.2018
Deliverable 1.2.2	Online meetings		4.00	31.03.2018
Activity 1.3	Steering group meetings	01.10.2015	31.03.2018	0.00
Deliverable 1.3.1	Minutes		5.00	31.03.2018
Activity 1.4	Self evaluations	01.10.2015	31.03.2018	0.00
Deliverable 1.4.1	Self evaluation reports		3.00	31.03.2018
Activity 1.5	Project monitoring	01.10.2015	31.03.2018	0.00
Deliverable 1.5.1	Progress reports to JS		5.00	31.03.2018
Deliverable 1.5.2	FLC		5.00	31.03.2018
Deliverable 1.5.3	Project report		1.00	31.03.2018
Activity 1.6	Participation on Central Baltic programme events	01.10.2015	31.03.2018	0.00
Activity 1.7	Internal communications	01.10.2015	31.03.2018	0.00

Type: Implementation

WP Nr	WP title	WP start date	WP end date	WP Budget
2	Design Thinking in Campus Development	2015-10	2017-12	

Partners Involvement	
WP responsible partner	Riga Planning Region
City of Helsinki	PP
University of Latvia	PP
Riga Planning Region	PP
Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences	LP
Uppsala University	PP
Stockholm University	PP
University of Tartu	PP
University of Turku	PP

Summary description and objectives of the work package including explanation of how will partners be involved.

Riga Planning Region will be the WP leader and coordinate the activities developing design methods for campus development. Riga Planning Region is the coordinator of creating a joint methodology and schedule for regional pilots and also for making the joint conclusions after pilots have ended, Six pilot projects are conducted within the work package resulting into integrated campus development plan: 1) Metropolia in collaboration with City of Helsinki will conduct a pilot within new Myllypuro campus area, 2) Brahea will conduct a pilot developing Turku as student city in collaboration with other HEI's, 3) UL will develop their new campus area in collaboration with RPR, City and other stakeholders, 4) Tartu will conduct a pilot study examinating the topical issue of the bearing of campus locations within the city structure and participating the development efforts of Tartu Master Plan and 5) Uppsala will conduct a pilot related to the Campus Uppsala initiative by Akademiska hus (the main real estate company for premises of educational institutes in Sweden). P.S. WILL STOCKHOLM BE MENTIONED AS A REGIONAL PILOT OR WILL THE ALBANO STUDY BE INCLUDED INTO WP T2 (SHARING & DEVELOPING THE BEST PRACTISES)?

WP will commence with joint planning and generating joint methodology, RPR will coordinate this work.

Please describe project main outputs that will be delivered based on the activities carried out in this work package. For each project main output a programme output indicator should be chosen. Please note that they need to have the same measurement unit.						
Project main output		**Describe your project main output**	**Choose a programme indicator to which the project main output will contribute**	**Measurement unit**	**Quantify your distribution**	**Delivery Date**
2.1	Intergrated Campus Development Plans involving HEI's, cities & stakeholders in partner regions	One integrated campus development plan is created for each partner region to map out relevant stakeholder and steps needed for areas future development				
			Number of targeted integrated urban plans	Number	6.00	2017-12
Project main output		**Describe your project main output**	**Choose a programme indicator to which the project main output will contribute**	**Measurement unit**	**Quantify your distribution**	**Delivery Date**
2.2	Shared expertise and examples of using design methods for campus development					
			Number of targeted integrated urban plans	Number	0.00	2017-12

Target groups per main outputs	
Who will use the main outputs	• business support organisation • General public • SME • sectoral agency • interest groups including NGOs • enterprise, excluding SME • infrastructure and (public) service provider • higher education and research • regional public authority • local public authority
How will you involve target groups (and other shareholders) in the development of the project main outputs?	Target groups participate the activities through regional stakeholder groups. These include e.g. campus development workshops and other events (related to regional pilot cases), working groups for policy and practice development, data query and analysis.
Durability and transferability of main outputs	
How will the project main outputs be further used once the project has been finalised? Please describe concrete measures (including eg institutional structures, financial sources etc) taken during and after project implementation to ensure the durability of the project main outputs. If relevant, please explain who will be responsible and/or the owner of the output. NB. Take note of rules governing ownership of outputs linked to investments (items of infrastructure) in line with Art 71 CPR.	By joint planning, sharing notes during pilot project implementation and making joint analysis and conclusions we are able to pin point the similarities and variations between cases and make some more general guidelines for urban planning cases. These findings are collected in an report about Using design methods for urban campus development cases, which will published and available on the web. In collaboration with WP T2 the findings are also disseminated through Livable City Forum and Final Conference events.
How will the project ensure that the project outputs are applicable and replicable by other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership? Please describe to what extent it will be possible to transfer the outputs to other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership.	During the project one integrated campus development plan is developed in collaboration with relevant stakeholders. The process and methods of creating a development plan with HEI, City government, relevant NGO's and businesses kickstarts the communication between these stakeholders and offers one proof-of-concept model for the collaborations. The plan itself will be available to used and developed further by regional stakeholders. - Regional stakeholder group is formed for the pilot case - After project the group will continue working together for the development of that particular pilot sight (connections and networks are formed, division of work is made for few coming years and the method of collaboration has found suitable form...) - The integrated campus development plan itself will work as a road map for the further development at the sight - There is a proof-of-concept of collaboration forms and methods which can be applied to other campus areas and other urban development cases (good experiences of collaboration, shared visions and better understanding of different POVs hopefully will result to extending the method in other cases within the region - hearing the experiences from other regions will contribute ideas for the further application) - Collaboration and joint discussion will also bring to light other possibilities for collaboration and synergy - The knowledge and expertise gained from the project will be available for the participants in their future work

Please describe activities and deliverables within the work package				
Activity 2.1	Creating joint methodology	01.10.2015	14.04.2017	0.00
Deliverable 2.1.1	Detailed pilot plans		6.00	14.04.2017
Deliverable 2.1.2	Collection of Design Methods for Urban planning		1.00	14.04.2017
Activity 2.2	Pilot Case Helsinki	01.03.2016	29.09.2017	0.00
Deliverable 2.2.1	Integrated Campus Development Plan		1.00	29.09.2017
Deliverable 2.2.2	Service Concepts		6.00	29.09.2017
Activity 2.3	Pilot Case Tartu	01.03.2016	29.09.2017	0.00
Deliverable 2.3.1	Integrated Campus Development Plan		1.00	29.09.2017
Activity 2.4	Pilot Case Riga	01.03.2016	29.09.2017	0.00
Deliverable 2.4.1	Integrated Campus Development Plan		1.00	29.09.2017
Activity 2.5	Pilot Case Stockholm	01.03.2016	29.09.2017	0.00
Deliverable 2.5.1	Integrated Campus Development Plan		1.00	29.09.2017
Activity 2.6	Pilot Case Uppsala	01.03.2016	29.09.2017	0.00
Deliverable 2.6.1	Integrated Campus Development Plan		1.00	29.09.2017
Activity 2.7	Pilot Case Turku	01.03.2016	29.09.2017	0.00
Deliverable 2.7.1	Integrated Campus Development Plan		1.00	29.09.2017
Activity 2.8	Joint conclusions	02.10.2017	14.12.2017	0.00
Deliverable 2.8.1	Report: Design Methods for Developing Urban Campus Areas		1.00	14.12.2017

Type: Implementation

WP Nr	WP title	WP start date	WP end date	WP Budget
3	Sharing & Developing Best Practises	2015-10	2017-12	

Partners Involvement	
WP responsible partner	Stockholm University
Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences	LP
City of Helsinki	PP
Riga Planning Region	PP
University of Tartu	PP
Stockholm University	PP
University of Latvia	PP
University of Turku	PP
Uppsala University	PP

Summary description and objectives of the work package including explanation of how will partners be involved.

Stockholm Resilience Center from Stockholm University will be the WP leader and coordinate the activities of the WP. Metropolia UAS will coordinate and organise a study visit for the project partners to an internationally cutting edge campus area in the beginning of 2016. The study visit particisipants will make notes of the findings and observations during the visit and Metropolia UAS will collect these into a report. Four Livable City Forums are organised: 1) Metropolia UAS and University of Turku will organise the 1st Livable City Forum in May/June 2016, 2) Uppsala University will organise the 2nd Forum together with local stakeholders and Stockholm University, 3) University of Tartu will organise the 3rd Forum and 4) Riga Planning Region and University of Latvia will organise the 4th one. Each partner region will form a regional stakeholder group which will also participate the Forum events. Forums feature also programme sections for policy makers and practical examples and/or study visits and workshops of local urban design cases relevant for Campus development. In addition each these Livable City Forums will have their own individual themes such as "Sustainable Campus Development", "Campus as a Hub of Innovation" etc. The themes will be decided upon on the beginning of the project (Kick-off meeting) and are revised at need to address the hot topical issues of Campus Development. Possible revisions to the themes are decided upon together in a partner meeting.

Stockholm University will organise the Final Conference compiling and disseminating the new knowledge and notions gained from the project and its activities.

Please describe project main outputs that will be delivered based on the activities carried out in this work package. For each project main output a programme output indicator should be chosen. Please note that they need to have the same measurement unit.						
Project main output		**Describe your project main output**	**Choose a programme indicator to which the project main output will contribute**	**Measurement unit**	**Quantify your distribution**	**Delivery Date**
3.1	Design process manual	Design process manual will be based on the insights acquired at the Albano aimed for other universities in the CB as a way to enhance the livability of the joint campus areas, and inspire ideas of how to enhance the livability of urban space more widely.				
			Number of targeted integrated urban plans	Number	0.00	2017-12
Project main output		**Describe your project main output**	**Choose a programme indicator to which the project main output will contribute**	**Measurement unit**	**Quantify your distribution**	**Delivery Date**
3.2	Gained, shared expertise for Urban developers in using Design methods for Campus development & stakeholder participation	Gained knowledge and expertise (via interregional project events and mapping-out the current situation in CB are) for urban developers of including stakeholders in planning processes. An event format and network for interregional knowledge sharing.				
			Number of targeted integrated urban plans	Number	0.00	2017-12

Target groups per main outputs	
Who will use the main outputs	• business support organisation • SME • interest groups including NGOs • infrastructure and (public) service provider • higher education and research • local public authority
How will you involve target groups (and other shareholders) in the development of the project main outputs?	Target groups participate Livable City Forums and Final conference for knowledge exhange and capacity building, travel costs for key stakeholder covered from project. The four forums have diffent themes and thus might have slightly different attendees.
Durability and transferability of main outputs	
How will the project main outputs be further used once the project has been finalised? Please describe concrete measures (including eg institutional structures, financial sources etc) taken during and after project implementation to ensure the durability of the project main outputs. If relevant, please explain who will be responsible and/or the owner of the output. NB. Take note of rules governing ownership of outputs linked to investments (items of infrastructure) in line with Art 71 CPR.	Design process manual is combiled to make work package outputs applicable and replicable by other organisations and cities after the project has ended. The knowledge exchange and idea from Livable City Forums and Final Conference are streamed for wider audiences and comprised into video reports. Outputs are disseminated through existing networks
How will the project ensure that the project outputs are applicable and replicable by other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership? Please describe to what extent it will be possible to transfer the outputs to other organisations/regions/countries outside of the current partnership.	Inventory reports from partner regions will serve as a basis for further development. Reports will also raise the regional issues that require solutions and development activities. The Livable City Forum event concept is tested and evalueted as a forum for interregional knowledge exhange and collaborative learning. The aim is that be inviting and supporting the city representatives and stakeholders to attend these events they'll experience in first hand the benefits of working method and simultaneously form a network of the urban development practitioners and policy makers which would continue its activities even after the project has ended. The design process manual will combile and analyse the findings and best practises of Albano Campus development process and together with the workshops offer guidelines and reference book for other Campus development projects.

Please describe activities and deliverables within the work package

Activity 3.1	Mapping out the current situation in Central Baltic region	01.10.2015	30.03.2016	0.00
Deliverable 3.1.1	Invetory reports		6.00	30.03.2016
Activity 3.2	Organising a study visit to cutting edge campus area	01.10.2015	29.02.2016	0.00
Deliverable 3.2.1	Report on findings and best practises		1.00	29.02.2016
Activity 3.3	Organising Livable City Forums	02.05.2016	29.09.2017	0.00
Deliverable 3.3.1	Event: Livable City Forum		4.00	29.09.2017
Deliverable 3.3.2	Summaries for further sharing		4.00	29.09.2017
Activity 3.4	Organising a Final Conference	02.10.2017	15.12.2017	0.00
Deliverable 3.4.1	Event: Final Conference		1.00	15.12.2017

Type: Communication

WP Nr	WP title	WP start date	WP end date	WP Budget
4	Communication	2015-10	2018-03	

Partners Involvement	
WP responsible partner	Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences
University of Turku	PP
University of Tartu	PP
City of Helsinki	PP
University of Latvia	PP
Uppsala University	PP
Stockholm University	PP
Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences	LP
Riga Planning Region	PP

Summary description and objectives of the workpackage including explanation of how will partners be involved of activities carried out and contribution of each partner.

Metropolia will act as WP leader and recruit a part-time information officer (50%) to coordinate communications activities of the whole project, devising a communication plan and seeing to establishing communication channels (website, social media). In addition supportive regional communication activities are included in the duties of project coordinators (part-time) of each partners. Specialists from each partner are encouraged to write articles about the pilot case studies.

10/2015-3/2016
Recruiting comm. officer & project coordinators
Establishing website/blog & social media, visual ID of project
Devising the Communication plan
Devising guidelines for regional communications
Organising comm. worlshop as part of Kick-off
Defining the requirements for online tools and inviting the tenders from service suppliers
Communications of the findings and notions from the Study visit (videoreport, blog post)

4 - 9/2016
Introduction and implementation activities of the online tools
Communications of 1st Livable City Forum (invitations, videoreports)
Communications for the pilot cases
Updating website & social media

10/2016-3/2017
Communications of 2nd & 3rd Livable City Forum
Communications for the pilot cases
Online tools support for the partners & collecting the develoment ideas
Updating website & social media

4 - 9/2017
Communications of 4rd Livable City Forum
Communications for the pilot cases
Online tools support for the partners & c. ideas
Updating website & social media
Disseminating & mainstreaming the results (journal articles, conference presentations)

10/2017-3/2018
Final Conference communications
Collecting the experiences of online to
Translations of integrated campus development plans from partner regions
Disseminating & mainstreaming the results (journal articles, conference presentations)

Project specific objectives	Communication objectives - What can communications do to reach a specific project objective?	Approach/Tactics - How do you plan to reach the communication objective?
	Change behaviour	Including stakeholders of campus development in regional pilot activities and creating a proof-of-concept example of using participatory design methods in campus planning.
	Increase knowledge	Sharing and developing best practises of using design methods for city improvement. Increasing knowledge of urban planning practitioners about tools & method of design via Livable City Forums, regional events and publications.
	Influence attitude	Influencing attitudes and raising awareness on policy level for utilising participatory design methods in urban development via Livable City Forums and regional events.

Please describe activities and deliverables within the work package

Activity 4.1		01.10.2015	31.12.2017	0.00
Deliverable 4.1.1	Web pages		1.00	31.12.2017
Deliverable 4.1.2	Social Media channels		3.00	31.12.2017
Deliverable 4.1.3	Video reports		12.00	31.12.2017
Deliverable 4.1.4	Online participation		0.00	31.12.2017
Activity 4.2		01.10.2015	31.03.2018	0.00
Deliverable 4.2.1	Publications in journals relevant to the project theme		1.00	31.03.2018
Deliverable 4.2.2	Livable City Forum communications		10.00	31.03.2018
Activity 4.3		01.10.2015	31.03.2018	0.00
Deliverable 4.3.1	Final conference communications		4.00	31.03.2018
Deliverable 4.3.2	Regional workshops & event communications		1.00	31.03.2018
Deliverable 4.3.3	Regional workshops & event communications		24.00	31.03.2018

C.5.1 Periods

Period Number	Duration (month)	Start Date	End Date	Reporting Date
0	null	2015-01-01	2015-09-01	2016-02-01
1	1	2015-10-01	2016-03-31	2016-03-31
2	1	2016-04-01	2016-09-30	2016-09-30
3	1	2016-10-01	2017-03-31	2017-03-31
4	1	2017-04-01	2017-09-30	2017-09-30
5	1	2017-10-01	2018-03-31	2018-03-31

C.6 Activities outside the Union part of the programme area

If applicable, please list activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) the programme area. Describe how these activities will benefit the programme area.What is the added value of activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) programme area? If applicable, please list the relevant activities and describe how they will benefit the programme area.

Total budget of activities to be carried out outside (the Union part of) the programme area (indicative)	0.00

	(indicative)	0.00
	% of total (indicative)	0,00

C.7 Indicative time plan

section removed

PART D - Project Budget

D.1 Project budget per co-financing source (fund) - breakdown per partner

Partner		Programme Co-financing			Contribution			Total Elig
Partner Abbreviation	Country	ERDF	ERDF Co-Financing(percent)	Percentage of Total ERDF	Public Contribution	Private Contribution	Total Contribution	
Metropolia	SUOMI / FINLAND	305,273.48	75.00 %	22.72 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	407,
RPR	LATVIJA	172,222.75	85.00 %	12.82 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	202,
UL	LATVIJA	169,787.50	85.00 %	12.64 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	199,
UTU	SUOMI / FINLAND	149,250.00	75.00 %	11.11 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	199,
UT	EESTI	169,957.50	85.00 %	12.65 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	199,
UU	SVERIGE	150,386.10	75.00 %	11.19 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	200,
SRC	SVERIGE	182,415.45	75.00 %	13.58 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	243,
Helsinki	SUOMI / FINLAND	44,340.00	75.00 %	3.30 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	59,
Sub-total For Partners Inside		1,343,632.78	--	100.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,711,
Sub-total For Partners Outside		0.00	--	0.00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total		1,343,632.78	--	100,00 %	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,711,

D.2 Project budget - overview per partner/ per budget line

Partner Abbreviation	Co-financing Source	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accomodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	Total Budget	Net Revenue	Total Eli
Metropolia	ERDF	303,462.00	45,519.30	22,950.00	35,100.00	0.00	0.00	407,031.30	0.00	407,
RPR	ERDF	116,100.00	17,415.00	15,900.00	53,200.00	0.00	0.00	202,615.00	0.00	202,
UL	ERDF	95,000.00	14,250.00	11,000.00	79,500.00	0.00	0.00	199,750.00	0.00	199,
UTU	ERDF	118,540.00	17,781.00	11,100.00	51,579.00	0.00	0.00	199,000.00	0.00	199,
UT	ERDF	103,000.00	15,450.00	10,800.00	31,200.00	39,500.00	0.00	199,950.00	0.00	199,
UU	ERDF	131,752.00	19,762.80	7,400.00	41,600.00	0.00	0.00	200,514.80	0.00	200,
SRC	ERDF	195,844.00	29,376.60	18,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	243,220.60	0.00	243,
Helsinki	ERDF	22,000.00	3,300.00	23,820.00	10,000.00	0.00	0.00	59,120.00	0.00	59,
Total		1,085,698.00	162,854.70	120,970.00	302,179.00	39,500.00	0.00	1,711,201.70	0.00	1,711,
Percentage Of Total Budget		63.45 %	9.52 %	7.07 %	17.66 %	2.31 %	0.00 %	100,00 %	0.00 % Of Total Budget	100.0 Total E

Co-financing Source	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accomodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	Sum Financed Budget	Decreasing Net Revenue	Total Fina Budge
ERDF	845,683.50	126,852.52	94,497.50	243,024.25	33,575.00	0.00	1,343,632.78	0.00	1,343,

D.3 Project budget - overview per partner/ per period

Partner Abbreviation	Co-financing Source	Period 0	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	Period 5	Total Budget	Net Revenue	Total Eli
Metropolia	ERDF	7,100.00	67,156.65	91,931.65	97,806.65	86,831.65	56,204.70	407,031.30	0.00	407,
RPR	ERDF	2,900.00	46,670.00	49,670.00	41,670.00	44,870.00	16,835.00	202,615.00	0.00	202,
UL	ERDF	0.00	74,350.00	41,850.00	25,850.00	33,850.00	23,850.00	199,750.00	0.00	199,
UTU	ERDF	0.00	37,043.30	55,393.30	48,043.30	40,122.30	18,397.80	199,000.00	0.00	199,
UT	ERDF	0.00	45,450.00	58,500.00	43,300.00	32,300.00	20,400.00	199,950.00	0.00	199,
UU	ERDF	0.00	39,169.70	55,169.70	48,669.70	40,169.70	17,336.00	200,514.80	0.00	200,
SRC	ERDF	0.00	34,577.70	49,369.30	49,707.40	50,259.40	59,306.80	243,220.60	0.00	243,
Helsinki	ERDF	0.00	13,060.00	11,080.00	15,160.00	10,760.00	9,060.00	59,120.00	0.00	59,
Total		10,000.00	357,477.35	412,963.95	370,207.05	339,163.05	221,390.30	1,711,201.70	0.00	1,711,
Percentage Of Total Budget		0.58 %	20.89 %	24.13 %	21.63 %	19.82 %	12.94 %	100,00 %	0.00 % Of Total Budget	100.0 Total E

Co-financing Source	Period 0	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	Period 5	Total Financed E
ERDF	7,790.00	284,755.01	324,724.96	288,737.29	265,474.29	172,151.22	1,343,

D.4 Project budget - overview per partner/ per WP

Partner Abbreviation	Co-financing Source	WP P	WP M	WP T1	WP T2	WP C	Total Budget	Net Revenue	Total Elig
Metropolia	ERDF	7,100.00	359,531.30	22,000.00	18,400.00	0.00	407,031.30	0.00	407,
RPR	ERDF	2,900.00	134,515.00	20,000.00	45,200.00	0.00	202,615.00	0.00	202,
UL	ERDF	0.00	69,000.00	85,000.00	25,500.00	20,250.00	199,750.00	0.00	199,
UTU	ERDF	0.00	144,771.00	29,595.00	22,500.00	2,134.00	199,000.00	0.00	199,
UT	ERDF	0.00	119,250.00	66,000.00	13,700.00	1,000.00	199,950.00	0.00	199,
UU	ERDF	0.00	153,614.80	28,000.00	16,900.00	2,000.00	200,514.80	0.00	200,
SRC	ERDF	0.00	32,200.00	110,220.60	72,050.00	28,750.00	243,220.60	0.00	243,
Helsinki	ERDF	0.00	26,100.00	10,000.00	23,020.00	0.00	59,120.00	0.00	59,
Total		10,000.00	1,038,982.10	370,815.60	237,270.00	54,134.00	1,711,201.70	0.00	1,711,
Percentage Of Total Budget		0.58 %	60.72 %	21.67 %	13.87 %	3.16 %	100,00 %	0.00 % Of Total Budget	100.00 % O E

Co-financing Source	WP P	WP M	WP T1	WP T2	WP C	Total Financed Bu
ERDF	7,790.00	811,513.08	295,211.70	186,392.50	42,725.50	1,343,

D.5 Project budget - overview per WP/ per period

WP Number	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accomodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	Total Budget	Net Revenue	Total Elig
WP P	0.00	0.00	10,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10,000.00	0.00	10,
WP M	882,854.00	132,428.10	9,350.00	14,350.00	0.00	0.00	1,038,982.10	0.00	1,038,
WP T1	115,844.00	17,376.60	0.00	198,095.00	39,500.00	0.00	370,815.60	0.00	370,
WP T2	47,000.00	7,050.00	105,620.00	81,600.00	0.00	0.00	241,270.00	0.00	241,
WP C	40,000.00	6,000.00	0.00	8,134.00	0.00	0.00	54,134.00	0.00	54,
Total	1,085,698.00	162,854.70	120,970.00	302,179.00	39,500.00	0.00	1,711,201.70	0.00	1,711,
Percentage Of Total Budget	63.45 %	9.52 %	7.07 %	17.66 %	2.31 %	0.00 %	100,00 %	0.00 % Of Total Budget	100.00 % O E

Co-financing Source	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accomodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infrastructure & works	Sum Financed Budget	Decreasing Net Revenue	Total Fina Budge
ERDF	845,683.50	126,852.52	94,497.50	243,024.25	33,575.00	0.00	1,343,632.78	0.00	1,343,

D.6 Project budget - overview per WP/ per budget line

WP Number	Period 0	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4	Period 5
WP P	10,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
WP M	0.00	211,099.65	223,674.65	230,799.65	223,674.65	149,733.5
WP T1	0.00	92,127.70	107,269.30	87,107.40	48,854.40	35,456.8
WP T2	0.00	45,050.00	73,820.00	44,100.00	51,300.00	27,000.0
WP C	0.00	9,200.00	9,200.00	10,200.00	16,334.00	9,200.0

Co-financing Source	Period 0	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Perio
ERDF	7,790.00	284,755.01	324,724.96	288,737.29	2
Total EU Funds	7,790.00	284,755.01	324,724.96	288,737.29	2
ERDF Equivalent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

D.7 In-kind contribution

Partner Abbreviation	Amount
Metropolia	0,00
RPR	0,00
UL	0,00
UTU	0,00
UT	0,00
UU	0,00
SRC	0,00
Helsinki	0,00
Total	0.00
Percentage Of Total Budget	0.00 %

Co-financing Source	Amount
ERDF	0.00
Total E U Funds	0.00

PART E - Partner Budget

Name of partner organisation	**Metropolia Ammattikorkeakoulu**
Partner ID	**1**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**higher education and research**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**305273.48**	**75.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**407031.30**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Metropolia Ammattikorkeakoulu	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**101757.83**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP1**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project manager 75% - 2625€ / month	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	20475.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	20475.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	20475.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	20475.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	20475.00

	Communications officer 50% - 1450€ / month	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	11310.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	11310.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	11310.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	11310.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	11310.00
	Experts	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	14000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	28500.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	33000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	28500.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	10000.00
	Pilot coordinator 30% - 870€ / month	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	6786.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	6786.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	6786.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	6786.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	3393.00
Sub-total								303462.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								52571.00
Period2								67071.00
Period3								71571.00
Period4								67071.00
Period5								45178.00
TOTAL								303462.00

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP2**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project manager 75% - 2625€ / month	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Experts	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Pilot coordinator 30% - 870€ / month	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00

Sub-total		0.00
Period0		0.00
Period1		0.00
Period2		0.00
Period3		0.00
Period4		0.00
Period5		0.00
TOTAL		0.00

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP3**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project manager 75% - 2625€ / month	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Experts	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Pilot coordinator 30% - 870€ / month	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total								0.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								0.00
Period2								0.00
Period3								0.00
Period4								0.00
Period5								0.00
TOTAL								0.00

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP4**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Communications officer 50% - 1450€ / month	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00

					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total								0.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								0.00
Period2								0.00
Period3								0.00
Period4								0.00
Period5								0.00
TOTAL								0.00

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs						
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?						**no**
Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP0**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Livable City Forum, travel costs of project personnel	---	Period0	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Project personnels participation in CB Programme events	---	Period0	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Preparation	---	Period0	1.00	0.00	7100.00
Sub-total						7100.00
Period0						7100.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						7100.00

Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP1**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project personnels participation in CB Programme events	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	500.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	500.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	500.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	500.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	350.00
	Project manager's travel costs for partner visits	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	700.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	400.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	400.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	400.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	500.00

Sub-total		4750.00
Period0		0.00
Period1		1200.00
Period2		900.00
Period3		900.00
Period4		900.00
Period5		850.00
TOTAL		4750.00

Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP3**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Livable City Forum, travel costs of project personnel	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	1400.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1600.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	1600.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Study visit to a cutting edge Campus area outside of the programme area (e.g. in Netherlands)	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	4500.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Final conference, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	2000.00
Sub-total						11100.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						4500.00
Period2						1400.00
Period3						1600.00
Period4						1600.00
Period5						2000.00
TOTAL						11100.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP1**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	First Level Control costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	1000.00
	Steering group meeting, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00

			Period3	1.00	0.00	400.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	400.00
Sub-total						5800.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						1000.00
Period2						1000.00
Period3						1400.00
Period4						1000.00
Period5						1400.00
TOTAL						5800.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP2			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Organising costs for regional workshops & other pilot project related activities	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	800.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	800.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	400.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Design agency consultations for Helsinki pilot case	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	10000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						22000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						5800.00
Period3						10800.00
Period4						5400.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						22000.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP3			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Organising costs for one Livable City Forum (venue rent, quest speakers, catering etc.)	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Livable City Forum, travel costs of Regional Stakeholder Group members	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	700.00

			Period3	1.00	0.00	800.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	800.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						7300.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						5700.00
Period3						800.00
Period4						800.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						7300.00

Name of partner organisation	**Rīgas Plānošanas reģions**
Partner ID	**2**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**regional public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**172222.75**	**85.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**202615.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Rīgas Plānošanas reģions	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**30392.25**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP1**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	4500.00
	Admin. assistant	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	4800.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	4800.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	4800.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	4800.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	2400.00
	Expert, WP T1 Lead	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	12000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	12000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	12000.00

					Period4	1.00	0.00	12000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	6000.00
Sub-total								116100.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								25800.00
Period2								25800.00
Period3								25800.00
Period4								25800.00
Period5								12900.00
TOTAL								116100.00

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP2**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Expert, WP T1 Lead	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total								0.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								0.00
Period2								0.00
Period3								0.00
Period4								0.00
Period5								0.00
TOTAL								0.00

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP4**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total								0.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								0.00
Period2								0.00
Period3								0.00
Period4								0.00
Period5								0.00
TOTAL								0.00

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs						
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?						no
Travel and accomodation	Description	Unit type	WP0			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Preparation costs	---	Period0	1.00	0.00	2900.00
Sub-total						2900.00
Period0						2900.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						2900.00

Travel and accomodation	Description	Unit type	WP1			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Kick-off, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						1000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						1000.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						1000.00

Travel and accomodation	Description	Unit type	WP3			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Livable City Forums, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	4000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Study visit, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00

			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Final Conference at Stockholm	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	1000.00
Sub-total						12000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						5000.00
Period2						4000.00
Period3						2000.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						1000.00
TOTAL						12000.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP2**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Organising regional workshops & other pilot activities	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Research & data analysis	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						20000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						5000.00
Period2						5000.00
Period3						5000.00
Period4						5000.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						20000.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP3**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Organising Livable City Forum	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	7200.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Organising regional workshops & other pilot activities	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00

			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Research & data analysis	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	6000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	7000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Livable City Forums, stakeholder participation	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	4000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	1000.00
Sub-total						33200.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						6000.00
Period2						11000.00
Period3						5000.00
Period4						10200.00
Period5						1000.00
TOTAL						33200.00

Name of partner organisation	**Latvijas Universitāte**
Partner ID	**3**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**higher education and research**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**169787.50**	**85.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**199750.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Latvijas Universitāte	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**29962.50**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP1**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	3000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	3000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	3000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	3000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	3000.00
	Admin. assistant	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	9000.00
Sub-total								60000.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								12000.00

Period2		12000.00
Period3		12000.00
Period4		12000.00
Period5		12000.00
TOTAL		60000.00

Staff costs	Description			Unit type	WP2			
	Staff function	Type of staff	Comments		Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	4000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	4000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	4000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	4000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	4000.00
Sub-total								20000.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								4000.00
Period2								4000.00
Period3								4000.00
Period4								4000.00
Period5								4000.00
TOTAL								20000.00

Staff costs	Description			Unit type	WP4			
	Staff function	Type of staff	Comments		Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	3000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	3000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	3000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	3000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	3000.00
Sub-total								15000.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								3000.00
Period2								3000.00
Period3								3000.00
Period4								3000.00
Period5								3000.00
TOTAL								15000.00

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Travel and accomodation	Description	Unit type	WP3			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Livable City Forums, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	1000.00
	Study visit, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						11000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						4000.00
Period2						3000.00
Period3						2000.00
Period4						1000.00
Period5						1000.00
TOTAL						11000.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP2			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Translations	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Research & Data analysis	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	48000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	14000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						62000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						48000.00
Period2						14000.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						62000.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP3

			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Regional Stakehoder Group's travel costs (Forums)	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	1000.00
	Organising Livable City Forum	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Organising Regional Workshops & other pilot activities	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	500.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						14500.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						500.00
Period2						3000.00
Period3						2000.00
Period4						8000.00
Period5						1000.00
TOTAL						14500.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP4			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Translations	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						3000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						3000.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						3000.00

Name of partner organisation	**Turun yliopisto**
Partner ID	**4**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**higher education and research**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**149250.00**	**75.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**199000.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Turun yliopisto	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**49750.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP1**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	12094.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	12094.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	12094.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	12094.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	6048.00
	Project secretary	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	5481.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	5481.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	5481.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	5481.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	2742.00
	Specialists	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	6453.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	6453.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	6453.00

					Period4	1.00	0.00	6453.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	3224.00
	Specialist II	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	2314.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	2314.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	2314.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	2314.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	1158.00
Sub-total								118540.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								26342.00
Period2								26342.00
Period3								26342.00
Period4								26342.00
Period5								13172.00
TOTAL								118540.00

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP2**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Specialists	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total								0.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								0.00
Period2								0.00
Period3								0.00
Period4								0.00
Period5								0.00
TOTAL								0.00

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP3**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Specialists	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00

					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total								0.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								0.00
Period2								0.00
Period3								0.00
Period4								0.00
Period5								0.00
TOTAL								0.00

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs						
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?						**no**
Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP1**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Kick-off	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						1000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						1000.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						1000.00

Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP3**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Livable City Forum, travel costs of project personnel	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	2800.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1200.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	1600.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Study visit travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00

			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Final conference, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	1500.00
Sub-total						10100.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						3000.00
Period2						2800.00
Period3						1200.00
Period4						1600.00
Period5						1500.00
TOTAL						10100.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP1**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Design agency consultations for Turku pilot case	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	First Level Controls	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1100.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	1100.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1100.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	1100.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	1100.00
	Steering group meetings travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	650.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	650.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	650.00
Sub-total						7450.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						1750.00
Period2						1100.00
Period3						1750.00
Period4						1100.00
Period5						1750.00
TOTAL						7450.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP2**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Organising costs for regional workshops & other pilot project related activities	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1000.00

			Period2	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	2595.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Design agency consultations for Turku pilot case	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	10000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	10000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						29595.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						1000.00
Period2						13000.00
Period3						13000.00
Period4						2595.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						29595.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP3**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Organising costs for Livable City Forum	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	4000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Travel costs of reg.stakeholder group members	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	4200.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1800.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	2400.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						12400.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						8200.00
Period3						1800.00
Period4						2400.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						12400.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP4**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Translations	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	2134.00

			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						2134.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						2134.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						2134.00

Name of partner organisation	**Tartu Ülikool**
Partner ID	**5**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**higher education and research**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**169957.50**	**85.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**199950.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Tartu Ülikool	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**29992.50**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP1**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	9000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	9000.00
	Specialists	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	8000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	8000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	8000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	8000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	4000.00
	Planning specialists	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	4000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	5000.00

					Period4	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	3000.00
Sub-total								103000.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								21000.00
Period2								22000.00
Period3								22000.00
Period4								22000.00
Period5								16000.00
TOTAL								103000.00

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP2**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Specialists	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total								0.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								0.00
Period2								0.00
Period3								0.00
Period4								0.00
Period5								0.00
TOTAL								0.00

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs						
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?						**no**
Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP1**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Kick-off meeting at Helsinki, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	800.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						800.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						800.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00

Period5		0.00
TOTAL		800.00

Travel and accomodation	Description	Unit type	WP3			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Participating Livable City Forums (3) in other regions	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	4000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Final conference at Stockholm, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	2000.00
	International study visit outside the programme area, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						10000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						2000.00
Period2						4000.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						2000.00
Period5						2000.00
TOTAL						10000.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP2			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Research and data analyses related to Tartu pilot study	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	7500.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	14000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						26500.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						7500.00
Period3						14000.00
Period4						5000.00
Period5						0.00

TOTAL		26500.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP3			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Organising costs for Tartu Livable City Forum	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Regional stakeholder groups travelling costs to Livable City Forums (3)	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	700.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						3700.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						700.00
Period3						3000.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						3700.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP4			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Translations	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						1000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						1000.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						1000.00

Equipment	Description	Unit type	WP2			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Equipment needed in Tartu Pilot case	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	18500.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	21000.00

			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						39500.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						18500.00
Period2						21000.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						39500.00

Name of partner organisation	**Uppsala universitet**
Partner ID	**6**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**higher education and research**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**150386.10**	**75.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**200514.80**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Uppsala universitet	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**50128.70**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP1**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	16965.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	16965.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	16965.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	16965.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	8483.00
	Specialists	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	12313.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	12313.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	12313.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	12313.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	6157.00
Sub-total								131752.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								29278.00
Period2								29278.00

Period3		29278.00
Period4		29278.00
Period5		14640.00
TOTAL		131752.00

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs						
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?						**no**
Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP1**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Kick-off at HKI, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						1000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						1000.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						1000.00

Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP3**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Livable City Forums in others regions, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Final Conference in Stockholm, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	400.00
	Study visit, outside programme area	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						6400.00

Period0		0.00
Period1		3000.00
Period2		1000.00
Period3		1000.00
Period4		1000.00
Period5		400.00
TOTAL		6400.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP1			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Steering groups travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	500.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	500.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	100.00
Sub-total						1100.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						500.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						500.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						100.00
TOTAL						1100.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP2			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Reg. stakeholder groups travel costs for Livable City Forums	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	10000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	10000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Organising regional workshops & other pilot activities	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	3000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						28000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						1000.00
Period2						13000.00
Period3						12000.00
Period4						2000.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						28000.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP3			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Reg. stakeholder groups travel costs for Livable City Forums	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	1500.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	1500.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	1500.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Organising a Livable City Forum in Uppsala	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	6000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						10500.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						7500.00
Period3						1500.00
Period4						1500.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						10500.00

External expertise and services	Description	Unit type	WP4			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Translations	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						2000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						2000.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						2000.00

Name of partner organisation	**Stockholms universitet**
Partner ID	**7**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**higher education and research**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**182415.45**	**75.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**243220.60**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Stockholms universitet	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**60805.15**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP1**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Projects coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	8000.00
Sub-total								28000.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								5000.00
Period2								5000.00
Period3								5000.00
Period4								5000.00
Period5								8000.00
TOTAL								28000.00

Staff costs	Description			Unit type	WP2			
	Staff function	Type of staff	Comments		Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Projects coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	5501.50
					Period2	1.00	0.00	5189.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	5315.50
					Period4	1.00	0.00	5628.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	5897.00
	Specialist	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	6696.50
					Period2	1.00	0.00	13393.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	13560.50
					Period4	1.00	0.00	13728.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	20935.00
Sub-total								95844.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								12198.00
Period2								18582.00
Period3								18876.00
Period4								19356.00
Period5								26832.00
TOTAL								95844.00

Staff costs	Description			Unit type	WP3			
	Staff function	Type of staff	Comments		Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Projects coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	7000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	10000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	10000.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	10000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	10000.00
Sub-total								47000.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								7000.00
Period2								10000.00
Period3								10000.00
Period4								10000.00
Period5								10000.00
TOTAL								47000.00

Staff costs	Description			Unit type	WP4			
	Staff function	Type of staff	Comments		Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Projects coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	5000.00

					Period4	1.00	0.00	5000.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	5000.00
Sub-total								25000.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								5000.00
Period2								5000.00
Period3								5000.00
Period4								5000.00
Period5								5000.00
TOTAL								25000.00

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs						
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?						**no**
Travel and accomodation	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP3**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Livable City Forums	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	1000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	5000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	2000.00
Sub-total						18000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						1000.00
Period2						5000.00
Period3						5000.00
Period4						5000.00
Period5						2000.00
TOTAL						18000.00

Name of partner organisation	**Helsingin kaupunki**
Partner ID	**8**
Legal status	**public**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**44340.00**	**75.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**59120.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Helsingin kaupunki	public	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**14780.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Staff costs	**Description**			**Unit type**	**WP1**			
	Staff function	**Type of staff**	**Comments**		**Period**	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	4400.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	4400.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	4400.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	4400.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	4400.00
Sub-total								22000.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								4400.00
Period2								4400.00
Period3								4400.00
Period4								4400.00
Period5								4400.00
TOTAL								22000.00

Staff costs	Description			Unit type	WP2			
	Staff function	Type of staff	Comments		Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Project coordinator	---	---	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
					Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total								0.00
Period0								0.00
Period1								0.00
Period2								0.00
Period3								0.00
Period4								0.00
Period5								0.00
TOTAL								0.00

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs						
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?						no
Travel and accomodation	Description	Unit type	WP1			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Steering Group meeting, travel costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	400.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	400.00
Sub-total						800.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						400.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						400.00
TOTAL						800.00

Travel and accomodation	Description	Unit type	WP3			
			Period	No. of units	Price per unit	Total
	Livable City Forum, participations	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	3100.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	2900.00

			Period4	1.00	0.00	2900.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Final conference, participation	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	3600.00
	Study visit	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	8000.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
	Livable City Forum, travel costs of reg.stakeholder group members	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	920.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	800.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	800.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						23020.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						8000.00
Period2						4020.00
Period3						3700.00
Period4						3700.00
Period5						3600.00
TOTAL						23020.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP2**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Regional pilot costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period2	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	6000.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	2000.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						10000.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						2000.00
Period3						6000.00
Period4						2000.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						10000.00

External expertise and services	**Description**	**Unit type**	**WP3**			
			Period	**No. of units**	**Price per unit**	**Total**
	Regional pilot costs	---	Period1	1.00	0.00	0.00

			Period2	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period3	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period4	1.00	0.00	0.00
			Period5	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sub-total						0.00
Period0						0.00
Period1						0.00
Period2						0.00
Period3						0.00
Period4						0.00
Period5						0.00
TOTAL						0.00

Name of partner organisation	**Turun kaupunki**
Partner ID	**9**
Legal status	**private**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Turun kaupunki	private	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**

Name of partner organisation	**Uudenmaan liitto**
Partner ID	**10**
Legal status	**private**
Type of partner	**local public authority**
Co-financing source	**ERDF**
Outside (the Union part of) the programme area	**no**

E.1 Partner budget		
	Amount	**Co-financing Rate**
Programme co-financing	**0.00**	**0.00**
Partner contribution	**0.00**	
PARTNER TOTAL ELIGIBLE BUDGET	**0.00**	

E.2 Origin of partner contribution (indicative)			
Source of contribution	**Legal status**	**% of total partner contribution**	**Amount**
Uudenmaan liitto	private	0.00	0.00
sub-total public contribution		0.00	0.00
sub-total private contribution		0.00	0.00
Total		100,00 %	0.00
Partner Total Target Value			**0.00**

E.3 In-kind contribution	
Is there any in-kind contribution included in the project budget for this partner?	**no**

E.4 Partner budget - breakdown per budget line (indicative)	
Staff costs	
Are you using the flat rate for staff costs?	**no**

Office and administration

Office and administration costs - real costs	
Are you using the flat rate for administration costs?	**no**